

Initiated 2006

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
Judge in Basic Court Skopje I Snezana Geovska Popcevska	Art 75, paragraph 1, line 2 and 9 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Violation of regulations or other kind of violation of the autonomy of judges during trial</i>	First instance court did not seek to edit the lawsuit though lawsuit was disorderly and continued to act. Also ordered expert evidence, without to determine subject on the expert evidence, without deductive adequate evidence.	Initiated on: 26.04.2006 Initiated by: Supreme court panel Based on: Information on State Commission for Prevention of Corruption	Suspension from 09.03.2007 to 08.05.2007	Dismissed 08.03.2007	Appeal was submitted 16.03.2007 Appeal rejected 08.05.2007 <i>Application 48783/07 to ECtHR</i>
Judge in Basic Court Gostivar Nedzat Memedi	Art 75, paragraph 1, line 8 <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	Beyond his duties he made a minute and a new decision for a case which was not given for work to him. That case was given on another judge who had already prepared a decision.	Initiated on: 23.11.2006 Initiated by: President of Basic Court Gostivar Based on: after application of employee in the court to the president of the court On 24.1.2007 was changed from disciplinary request to dismissal request	Suspension from 9.3.2007 to 19.4.2007	Dismissed 19.4.2007 <i>See translation</i>	Appeal was submitted 30.05.2007 Appeal rejected 12.07.2007

Initiated 2007

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
Judge in Basic Court Kocani Zoran Trajanov П бп.270/06	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2,3 and 8 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties</i> <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	In a judgment is favored one party in a way that the judgment is bringing during the summer vacation on the judges for a case that is not urgent and is sent on the parties without preconditions from the article 321 from Civil procedure law	Initiated on: 07.02.2007 Initiated by: Member on the Judicial council Based on: citizen complaint	Suspension from 08.03.2007 to 08.02.2008	Dismissed 19.11.2007	Appeal submitted on 19.12.2007 Appeal rejected 08.02.2008
Judge in basic Court Vinica Metodi Gotsevski	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Acting in two cases for property allow on the plaintiffs to gain greater property benefits with which have been damaged Republic of Macedonia	Initiated on: 07.02.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: ???	Suspension from 22.03.2007 to 12.09.2008	Dismissed 07.12.2007	Appeal submitted on 25.12.2007, and on 18.01.2008 is submitted addition on appeal Appeal rejected 12.09.2008
Judge in Basic Court Prilep Slobodan Belichanski	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	For more cases did not take any actions to resolve them. Untimely undertaking on procedural actions in several cases - 19	Initiated on: 28.02.2007 Initiated by: President of Court Based on: ???	No decision for suspension.	Dismissed 19.11.2007	Appeal submitted on 27.12.2007 Appeal rejected 29.01.2008

Judge in Basic Court Veles Blagoj Angusev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Judge allow plaintiff to obtain against legal proceeds on damage on Republic of Macedonia	Initiated on: 28.03.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: ???	Suspension from 19.11.2007 to 11.12.2009	Dismissed 26.08.2008	Appeal submitted on 25.09.2008 Appeal rejected 11.12.2008
Judge in Basic Court Gevgelija Elena Makarovski	Article 73, paragraph 1, line 1, 2 and 3 <i>If during a calendar year, the Judicial Council of the Republic of Macedonia found inaccurate and inefficient management of the court proceeding by the fault of the judge when the judge on his own fault in more than five cases had exceeded the legal limits for taking the procedural actions, legal limits for adoption publication or preparation of judicial decisions, or if during a calendar year more than 20% of the total number of solved cases are to be overturned, or more than 30% of the total number of solved cases are to be amended,</i> <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	In a case ST nr.4/03 make a minute with a decision with forgery signatures from members on the council	Initiated on: 18.05.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: Information from the Ministry of Justice	No decision for suspension.	Resigned 06.03.2008 <i>Proceedings stopped.</i> <i>Termination decision by her request.</i>	No appeal

	<i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties</i>					
Judge in basic Court Negotino Nikolajko Nikolov	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Accept change on the plaintiff in the procedure where case judge should to give on competence on Basic court Kavadarci, which he didn't do.	Initiated on: 19.06.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: Citizen complaint	No decision for suspension.	Dismissed 31.03.2008	Appeal submitted on 21.04.2008 Appeal rejected 30.06.2008
Judge in Basic Court Tetovo Xhemaliji Arifi	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Exercised influence on the independence of judges for deciding in the cases and he did the distribution on cases on his personal assessment, not according to the schedule.	Initiated on: 25.06.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial Council Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 31.03.2008 to 16.06.2008	Dismissed 08.04.2008	Appeal submitted on 17.04.2008 Appeal rejected 16.06.2008
Judge in Basic Court Kumanovo Momcilo Gorgievski	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Bankruptcy cases. Judge did not act according to the new schedule for the work on court, did not take in work cases where other judges was acting and did not back civil cases.	Initiated on: 15.08.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: submission by individual	No decision for suspension.	Dismissed 22.9.2008	Appeal submitted on 21.10.2008 Appeal rejected 15.01.2009
Judge in Basic Court Skopje 2 Lidija Kusevski	Art 73, paragraph 1, line 2 and 3 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the</i>	In more than 60 civil cases prolong the deadline for preparation on decisions for two, three and in some cases for eight months.	Initiated on: 15.08.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial	No decision for suspension	Resigned 07.12.2007 <i>Proceedings stopped</i>	No appeal

	<i>judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties</i>		council Based on: Information from the President of the court			
Judge in Appeal Court Gostivar Shoip Fidani Π 6p.226/07	Art 75, paragraph 1, line 2 and 3 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties</i>	Judge was acting in a case where his mother was a defendant. This is opposite on the article 64 p.1 line 3 from the Civil procedure law.	Initiated on: 08.11.2007 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: Information from President of the court	No decision for suspension	Resigned 07.12.2007 <i>Proceedings stopped</i>	No appeal
Judge in the Basic Court Gevgelija Dragan Dilberov	Art 77 paragraph 1 point 8 <i>Causing severe disruption in the court relations, which shall significantly influence the performance of the judicial office;</i>	A more serious violation of the relations in the court	Initiated on: 11.12.2007 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: Citizen complaint	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 02.12.2009	No appeal
Judge in Basic Court Skopje I Spase Stojanovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 8 <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	In two cases make a correction against the provisions on Civil procedure law	Initiated on: 13.12.2007 27.12.2007 Initiated by: President of BC Skopje 1 and member of Judicial council	Suspension from 03.10.2008 to 10.02.2009	Dismissed 03.10.2008	Appeal submitted on 15.10.2008 – Appeal rejected 10.02.2009

			Based on: Citizen complaint			
--	--	--	--------------------------------	--	--	--

Initiated 2008

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
Judge in Basic Court Gostivar Vasil Kostoski	Art 77, paragraph 1, line 1 <i>Not respecting the specified schedule for acting upon cases</i>	Violated the order for managing with cases In your original information, you stated that on 19.2.2009 a disciplinary measure was imposed of 15% salary reduction for three months (this makes sense if the article used was Art 77, which is related to other disciplinary measures than dismissal). Now it is stated as a dismissal. Please clarify ? (It was technical mistake, this person is not dismissed, there is only disciplinary measure imposed)	Initiated on: 16.05.2008 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: Citizen complaint	No decision for suspension	19.2.2009 disciplinary measure was imposed of 15% salary reduction for three months Effective on 04.03.2009	No appeal
Judge in Basic Court Strumica Nichola Donceva	Art 73, paragraph 1, line 1, 2 and 3 <i>if during a calendar year, the Judicial Council of the Republic of Macedonia found inaccurate and inefficient management of the court proceeding by the fault of the judge when the judge on his own fault in more than five cases had exceeded the legal limits for taking the procedural actions, legal limits for adoption publication or preparation of judicial decisions, or if during a</i>	Took in work case which was finished and archived already 12 years.	Initiated on: 23.06.2008 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: ???	No decision for suspension.	Resigned 04.12.2008 <i>Proceedings stopped. Termination decision by his request.</i>	No appeal

	calendar year more than 20% of the total number of solved cases are to be overturned, or more than 30% of the total number of solved cases are to be amended, Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases; Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;					
Judge in Basic Court Strumica Risto Haji Elenov	article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 and 8 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	Bankruptcy cases As a president on the bankruptcy council without presence of the member of the council, make a minute and verified with his signature and make a decision with which conclude the bankruptcy procedure.	Initiated on: 24.06.2008 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: ???	Suspension from 10.10.2008 to 07.04.2009	Dismissed 30.12.2008	Appeal submitted on 20.01.2009 Appeal rejected 07.04.2009
judge in the Basic Court Tetovo Miroslav Trifunovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 8 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	as an investigative judge, he/she did not report to make an inspection on the place in which a death case of a prisoner occurred and he/she transferred the inspection to the Sector for Internal Affairs - Tetovo	Initiated on: 21.07.2008 Initiated by: Member of the JC Based on : Information from the President of Basic Court Tetovo	Suspension from 29.12.2008 to 05.05.2009	Dismissed 12.02.2009	Appeal submitted 06.03.2009 Appeal rejected 5.5.2009 <i>Application 58738/09 to ECtHR</i>
Judge in Basic Court Prilep	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 5		Initiated on: 26.08.2008	Suspension from 11.11.2008	Dismissed 13.11.2008	Appeal submitted

Kiril Mircevski	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Un-authorised issuing of classified information</i>	Untimely and negligence acting in more misdemeanor cases. Decisions deliver on the parties in a longer period after adoption and because of that cases' obsolescence.	Initiated by: President on BC Prilep Based on: ???	to 23.02.2009		28.11.2008 Appeal rejected 23.02.2009
judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Memeti Aliu	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2, 3 and 4 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;</i> <i>Acting upon cases contrary to the principle of trial within reasonable time, or respectively delay of the court proceedings without justified grounds;</i>	he/she did not act upon the guidelines of the higher court, he postponed the court procedures without the existence of a legal ground, hence violating the right to a trial within reasonable time and he/she acted partially with regard to the case	Initiated on: 02.09.2008 Initiated by: Member of the JC Based on: Information from the president of Basic Court Gostivar and citizen complaint	Suspension from 11.11.2008 to 27.04.2009	Dismissed 12.2.2009	Appeal submitted 25.02.2009 Appeal rejected 27.04.2009
judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Slave Kostovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	unprofessional and unconscientious processing of cases, in a manner in which a lost court case was renewed contrary to article 91 of the Court Rules of Procedure	Initiated on: 29.09.2008 Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 29.12.2008 to 27.04.2009	Dismissed 29.12.2008	Appeal submitted on 23.01.2009 Appeal rejected 27.04.2009
judge in the Appellate Court Stip Boge Iliev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 3 and 10 <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view</i>	He/she acted contrary to the principle of impartiality and decency from the Code on Judicial Ethics in a manner that he/she led the court proceedings in a partial manner with unequal	Initiated on: 29.09.2008 Initiated by: Member of the JC	Suspension from 29.12.2008 to 14.09.2009	Dismissed 26.02.2009	Appeal submitted on 02.03.2009 Appeal rejected

	<i>of the equal treatment of the parties;</i> <i>Severe violation of rules of the Judicial Code, undermining the reputation of the judicial office.</i>	treatment of the parties in the procedure, as well as he/she acted contrary to the annual schedule of the judges, violating the same	Based on: Citizen complaint			14.09.2009
Judge in Basic Court Ohrid Goce Jaksovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	Untimely, contrary to the deadlines in Civil procedure law was scheduled hearings and bring two different decisions for the same case P.nr.743 / 07	Initiated on: 08.10.2008 Initiated by: Member on a Judicial council Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 11.12.2008 to 24.09.2009	Dismissed 17.12.2008	Appeal submitted on 25.12.2008 Appeal rejected 10.04.2009 <i>Application 56381/09 to ECtHR</i>
Judge in Basic Court Bitola Trajan Korunovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 1, 2 and 3 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;</i> <i>Acting upon cases contrary to the principle of trial within reasonable time, or respectively delay of the court proceedings without justified grounds;</i>	Judge failed to take any procedural actions in the case and because of that, misdemeanor case became obsolete	Initiated on: 03.11.2008 Initiated by: Minister of Justice (<i>ex officio</i> member) Based on: ???	Suspension from 26.02.2009 to 05.05.2009	Dismissed 26.02.2009	Appeal submitted 18.03.2009 Appeal rejected 05.05.2009
judge in the Basic Court	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 3	the judge has made several violations to the provisions of the Law on	Initiated on: 25.11.2008	Suspension from 27.2.2009	Dismissed 07.05.2009	Appeal submitted

Gostivar Mishko Damjanovski	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties</i>	Enforcement Procedure, the Law on Litigation and the Law on Obligations, he/she did not call the representative of the creditors of the legal heirs, he/she did not give them the possibility to discuss in front of the court, he/she stopped the procedure due to the obsolescence of the request, although the conditions for it were not fulfilled, he/she submitted the decision for stopping after more than 2 months after its adoption, he/she thwarted the enforcement	Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Information from President of Basic Court Gostivar	to 7.5.2009	<i>See translation</i>	02.06.2009 Appeal rejected 04.12.2009
judge in the Basic Court Veles Valentin Zafirov	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 5 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Unauthorised issuing of classified information</i>	He/she acted contrary to article 5 paragraph 1 point 5 of the Law on Classified Information with article 4 paragraph 1 of the Law on Protection of Witnesses, by putting forward data of confidential character	Initiated on: 07.12.2008 Initiated by: Member of the JC Based on: Information from Ministry of Interior	Suspension from 30.12.2008 to 28.04.2009	Dismissed 26.02.2009	Appeal submitted on 17.03.2009 Appeal rejected 28.04.2009
judge in the Basic Court Delcevo Dusko Simov	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	an absolute obsolescence as a fault of the judge which occurred in 3 cases	Initiated on : 24.12.2008 Initiated by: Minister of Justice (<i>ex officio</i> member) Based on: ???	Suspension from 21.05.2009 to 14.09.2009	Dismissed 26.05.2009	Appeal submitted on 08.06.2009 Appeal rejected 14.09.2009
judge in the Basic Court Kriva Palanka Ivan Stojcevski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 8 <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority;</i>	the judge has engaged an expert which was not on the list of existing court experts for the court contrary to article 237 paragraph 2 of the Law on Litigation	Initiated on: 29.12.2008 Initiated by: member of JC	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 19.11.2009 <i>Please confirm: was this stopped</i>	

			Based on: ???		due to resignation i.e. own request termination?	
--	--	--	------------------	--	---	--

Initiated 2009

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
judge in the Basic Court Radovis Violeta Vasilevska	article 76 paragraph 1 point 2 <i>Severe violation of the rights of the parties and of other participants in the procedure, damaging the reputation of the court and the judicial function</i>	untimely delivery of cases to a higher court on the basis of submitted appeals	Initiated on: 29.01.2009 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 03.12.2009 to 23.2.2011	Dismissed 15.12.2009 (serious disciplinary violation) Following appeal, procedure stopped on 23.02.2011	Appeal submitted on 28.01.2010 Appeal upheld by Supreme Court 25.06.2010
Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Sejfula Osmani	Art 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge acted unjustified and acted slow without any grounds in the conduct of the proceedings	Initiated on: 16.03.2009 Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Working visit of members of Judicial council	Suspension from 02.12.2009 to 28.12.2009	Dismissed 02.12.2009 (effective 28.12.2009)	No appeal was submitted
Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Tance Sofronievski	Art 75 paragraph 1 line 3 and 9 <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;</i>	the judge did not submit the appeal initiated against the first instance judgement on a timely manner to the higher court, he/she did not act on the guidelines of the higher court, thus making the case obsolescent	Initiated on: 22.05.2009 Initiated by: Members of JC Based on:	Suspension from 20.11.2009 to 17.02.2010	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 17.2.2010	No appeal

Commented [ΦT1]: This person is not dismissed.

	<i>Violation of regulations or other kind of violation of the autonomy of judges during trial</i>		Working visit of members of Judicial council and Information from president of BC Kicevo			
Judge in the Basic Court Gevgelija Vanco Mendizov	Art 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	the judge determined by a judgement the right to property of real estate thus allowing for that property – State property to be put in legal exchange	Initiated on: 01.06.2009 Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 22.10.2009 to 05.03.2010	Dismissed 2.12.2009	Appeal submitted on 30.12.2009 Appeal rejected 05.03.2010
judge in the Basic Court Kriva Palanka Cvetanka Ranevska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge acted slow in the conduct of court proceedings and at the same time limited the right to submission of a regular legal remedy on the part of the defender of the accused, on the basis of an adopted decision according to which the appeal was discharged as un-orderly	Initiated on: 19.06.2009 Initiated by: Members of JC Based on: Information from BC Kriva Palanka	Suspension from 23.11.2009 to 15.01.2010	Dismissed 19.11.2009	Appeal submitted 09.12.2009 Appeal rejected 15.01.2010
judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Violeta Duma	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 8 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>	the judge did not request his/her omission beside the existence of legal conditions, he/she did not determine the identity of the accused beside the pointing out of the prosecutor that in the criminal registry he/she is registered as a convicted person for a criminal act which he/she did not commit, and the perpetrator of the criminal act according to the submitted accusation on the part of the prosecutors, for the person to commence serving his/her prison sentence under a fake name, as well as to prevent his/her extradition, but to also judge him/her for a criminal act for which he/she is accused by the authorities in the Republic of	Initiated on: 23.6.2009 and 9.9.2009 Initiated by: Minister of Justice (as <i>ex officio</i> member) Based on: 	Suspension from 12.03.2010 to 10.12.2010	Dismissed 10.06.2010 <i>Single decision relating to 5 judges in respect of same disciplinary issue</i> <i>See translation</i>	Appeal submitted 01.07.2010 Appeal rejected 10.12.2010 <i>Application 36531/11 to ECtHR</i>

		Macedonia and to be tried in the competent court, according to his national right as his/her personal right which belongs to him/her according to the International Law				
Judge in Basic Court Ohrid Ivo Poposki	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	the judge allowed that in the procedure, contrary to the Law on Litigation, the participants to be represented by representatives which do not fulfill the conditions of the Law on Litigation	Initiated on: 24.6.2009 Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 22.10.2009 to 27.04.2010	Dismissed 2.11.2009 (effective 18.5.2010)	Appeal submitted on 28.12.2009 Appeal rejected 27.04.2010 <i>Application 69916/10 to ECtHR</i>
Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Angelina Popovska	Article 75 paragraph 1 line 4 <i>Acting upon cases contrary to the principle of trial within reasonable time, or respectively delay of the court proceedings without justified grounds;</i>	The judge did not undertake all the available legal means in order to perform proper delivery of the referring act to the convicted, which brought about the slow acting of the procedure in the execution of the prison sentence	Initiated on : 02.09.2009 Initiated by: Minister of Justice (<i>ex officio</i> member) Based on: ???	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 17.2.2010	No appeal Disciplinary procedure Please clarify?
Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Sonja Chamurovska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	inconsistencies are established among the decisions for counselling and voting contained in the Minutes for counselling and voting and the written copy of the judgements	Initiated on: 3.11.2009 Initiated by: Members of JC Based on: Information from higher court	Suspension from 15.01.2010 to 02.07.2010	Dismissed 25.03.2010 <i>See translation</i>	Appeal submitted on 22.01.2010 Appeal rejected 2.07.2010
Judge from the Sveti Nikole Basic Court Dimce Pockov	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	the judge without any legal ground delayed the procedure in two cases	Initiated on: 17.11.2009 26.01.2010 Initiated by: Members of JC	Suspension from 11.03.2010 to 08.07.2011	Dismissed 22.4.2010	Appeal was upheld by Supreme Court panel 22.10.2010 and Judicial Council

Commented [ΦT2]: No disciplinary procedure.

			Based on: ???		Following appeal, new dismissal decision adopted on 23.2.2011	Decision was quashed. New appeal submitted on 25.03.2011 Second appeal rejected 08.07.2011
Judge in Basic court Kocani Kiro Stefanov	article 77 paragraph 1 point 1 <i>Not respecting the specified schedule for acting upon cases</i>	the judge reached liberation verdicts prematurely in misdemeanour cases due to absolute obsolescence	Initiated on: 25.11.2009 Initiated by: President of BC Kocani Based on: Working visit to Appellate court Stip	Suspension from 18.01.2010 to 16.03.2010	On 11.3.2010 Imposed disciplinary measure 30% of the monthly salary for period of 6 months. (effective on 29.03.2010)	No appeal
Judge from the Kumanovo Basic Court Selver Ismani	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	correction of a decision which is in contradiction to the Law on Litigation	Initiated on 30.11.2009 Initiated by: Members of JC Based on: ???	Suspension from 25.3.2010 To 24.1.2011	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 24.1.2011 <i>See translation</i>	No appeal
Judge in the Basic Court Stip Lidija Todrovska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	the judgement is not delivered within the legal deadline	Initiated on: 17.12.2009 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council Based on: Citizen complaint	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 7.12.2010 <i>And re-defined as termination of the judicial function at the request of judge (resignation)</i>	N/A
Judge in the Basic Court Strumica	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 8	the judge allowed enforcement of financial request without the existence of proper documentation	Initiated on: 29.12.2009	Suspension from 23.04.2010 to	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.12.2010	N/A

Roza Deleva	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i> <i>Misuse of office or exceeding the official authority</i>		Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: ???	23.12.2010	<i>Due to complaint being time-barred (more than 5 years after violation)</i>	
-------------	--	--	---	------------	---	--

Initiated 2010

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
Judge from the Tetovo Basic Court Ibraim Vela	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	the judge failed to take any actions from the date the case was received to the date the statute of limitations occurred (case became obsolescent)	Initiated on: 2.2.2010 2.6.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: Citizen complaint	Suspension from 3.6.2010 to 16.5.2011	Dismissed 23.02.2011 <i>See translation</i>	Appeal submitted 21.03.2011 Appeal rejected 16.05.2011
Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Vlado Dzilvidziev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge demonstrated a complete lack of professional preparation by the fact that he/she did not delineate the proposal and the writs submitted by the Higher Public Prosecution Office Skopje to the Criminal Council of the Appellate Court Skopje in his/her deliberation as the rapporteur of the case, he/she did not include the same in the Minutes of the public session, nor he/she included them in the written judgement, with a special comment and overview in view of the value and the character of these delivered writs by the Higher Public Prosecution Office Skopje, which in fact had an essential influence in	Initiated on: 24.3.2010 Initiated by: President of JC Based on: ???	Suspension from 22.04.2010 to 10.12.2010	Dismissed 10.06.2010 <i>Single decision relating to 5 judges in respect of same disciplinary issue</i> <i>See translation</i>	Appeal submitted 23.06.2010 Appeal rejected 10.12.2010

		view of the adoption of the decision				
Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Mirjana Dukovska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge acted contrary to the principle of professional conduct towards the work, due to the fact that he/she did not deliberate on the written submissions towards the letter submitted by the Higher Public Prosecution Office Skopje to the Appellate Court in Skopje	Initiated on: 24.03.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: ????	Suspension from 22.04.2010 to 10.06.2010	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.06.2010 <i>Single decision relating to 5 judges in respect of same disciplinary issue</i> See translation	N/A
judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Ismail Limani	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge acted contrary to the principle of professional conduct towards the work, due to the fact that he/she did not deliberate on the written submissions towards the letter submitted by the Higher Public Prosecution Office Skopje to the Appellate Court in Skopje	Initiated on: 24.03.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: ????	Suspension from 22.04.2010 to 10.06.2010	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.06.2010 <i>Single decision relating to 5 judges in respect of same disciplinary issue</i> See translation	No appeal
judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Katerina Orovcanev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases</i>	The judge acted contrary to the principle of professional conduct towards the work, due to the fact that he/she did not deliberate on the written submissions towards the letter submitted by the Higher Public Prosecution Office Skopje to the Appellate Court in Skopje	Initiated on: 24.03.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: ????	Suspension from 22.04.2010 to 10.06.2010	<i>Procedure Stopped</i> 10.06.2010 <i>Single decision relating to 5 judges in respect of same disciplinary issue</i> See translation	N/A
Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 4	the judge has not prepared a written decision for termination of the procedure according to which the	Initiated on: 14.4.2010	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.12.2010	N/A

Violeta Tasevska	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Acting upon cases contrary to the principle of trial within reasonable time, or respectively delay of the court proceedings without justified grounds;</i>	parties were denied the right to continue the procedure ex officio, he/she did not carry out action and he/she delayed the court procedure	Initiated by: member of JC Based on: Citizen complaint		<i>Due to complaint being time-barred (more than 5 years after violation)</i>	
Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Jasmina Boshevska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	the judge prepared the court judgement many months after the holding of the last hearing	Initiated on: 01.06.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: ???	Suspension from 30.03.2011 to 08.11.2011	Dismissed 18.05.2011	Appeal submitted on 28.06.2011 Appeal rejected 08.11.2011
Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Done Kuzmanovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	the judge prepared the court judgement many months after the holding of the last hearing	Initiated on: 1.6.2010 Initiated by: Member of JC Based on: Information from the president of BC Bitola	Suspension from 19.05.2011 to 06.09.2011	Dismissed 18.05.2011	Appeal submitted on 09.06.2011 Appeal rejected 06.09.2011
Judge in the Basic Court Stip Dragi Ivanovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	The judge allowed for the happening of relative obsolescence and inability for undertaking of a misdemeanour procedure on his/her own fault and he/she established obsolescence even before reaching the deadline of one year within which the last procedural action was undertaken	Initiated on: 02.06.2010 Initiated by: members of JC Based on: Realizing control please clarify what this means	Suspension from 23.02.2011 to 26.09.2011	Dismissed 29.03.2011	Appeal submitted on 09.05.2011 Appeal rejected 26.09.2011
a judge in the	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	The judge accepted a proposal for	Initiated on:	Suspension from	Dismissed	Appeal

Appellate Court Skopje Jordan Mitrovski	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	termination of a detention measure with the offer of a guarantee, accepted the guarantee offered, and made a combination of the preventive measures of house arrest with the acceptance of the guarantee	10.12.2010 Initiated by: President of Supreme Court (ex officio member) Based on: ???	24.02.2011 to 13.09.2011	18.05.2011	submitted on 09.06.2011 Appeal rejected 13.09.2011 Application 6899/12 to ECtHR (judgment 30.4.2015 – violation of Art 6(1); JC not an independent and impartial tribunal)
President of Basic Court Gostivar Ruzha Tomevska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	As the president of the court he failed to take all the measures pursuant to the Court Rules of Procedure, and also acting as a judge he did not follow the penal/criminal justice policy of the higher courts in a number of criminal cases	Initiated on: 15.12.2010 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: ???	Suspension from 23.02.2011 to 04.07.2011	Dismissed 30.03.2011	Appeal submitted on 16.06.2011 Appeal rejected 4.07.2011
judge from the Basic Court Gostivar Eljesa Aliu	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	owing to failure to take process actions the judge contributed to the occurrence of absolute statute of limitations in many misdemeanour	Initiated on: 27.12.2010 Initiated by: members of JC Based on: ???	No decision for suspension	Procedure stopped 23.2.2011 Please clarify if this was stopped due to resignation	No appeal

Initiated 2011

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
President	article 75 paragraph 1 lines 2	the judge entrusted cases for	Initiated on:	Suspension from	Dismissed	Appeal

Basic Court Kriva Palanka Slavica Georgievska	and 10 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Severe violation of rules of the Judicial Code, undermining the reputation of the judicial office.</i> and article 79 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>illegal and unintended disposal of the funds of the court;</i>	expertise in contravention of the law, acted irresponsibly towards the work	14.03.2011 Initiated by: members of JC Based on: ???	18.05.2011 to 23.03.2012	03.11. 2011	submitted on 09.01.2012 Appeal rejected 23.03.2012
judge from the Basic Court Strumica Roza Riclieva	article 75 paragraph 1 lines 2 and 3 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;</i>	the judge replaced the detention measure with house arrest, although the legal conditions for that were not met	Initiated on: 16.03.2011 Initiated by: members of JC Based on: Complaint from Ministry of interior	Suspension from 18.05.2011 to 22.03.2012	Dismissed 03.11.2011	Appeal submitted on 20.12.2011 Appeal rejected 22.03.2013
President of Constitutional Court Trendafil Ivanovski	N/A	Decision of Lustration Commission 29.9.2010 Appeal rejected by Administrative Court 8.11.2010 Appeal rejected by Supreme Court 2.3.2011 Dismissed by Parliament 11.4.2011	N/A		Dismissed by Parliament on 11.4.2011	<i>Application 29908/11 to ECtHR</i>
judge from the Basic Court	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	the judge acted in contradiction with Articles 5, 6, 7 and 8 and Articles 155	Initiated on: 12.10.2011	Suspension from 17.01.2012	Dismissed 08.03.2012	Appeal submitted on

Veles Cana Babunovska	<i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	through 177 of the Law on Out-Of-Court Proceedings and the provisions of the Law on Inheritance, in several cases hearings were postponed, heir statements were not taken, although the participants attended the hearings, the procedures were delayed without any justification	Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: Working visit of Appellate court Skopje	to 29.06.2012		04.04.2012 Appeal rejected 29.06.2012
Judge at the Basic Court Stip Milance Rambabov	article 77 (1) line 8 <i>Causing severe disruption in court relations, significantly influencing the performance of the judicial function</i>	he caused a severe disturbance of the relations in the court which have a significant impact on the performance of the juridical office, a case was assigned to him for handling on two occasions and he refused to accept it	Initiated on: 27.10.2011 Initiated by: member of JC Based on: Information from the president on the court	No decision for suspension	On 08.03.2012 a disciplinary measure was pronounced: 15% of the monthly salary for a period of 6 months. (effective on 03.04.2012)	No appeal
Judge in the Basic Court Debar Fitim Kasapi	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	acting and deciding in disputes for divorce of matrimony he acted unprofessionally and unconscientiously, in a manner that he conducted the procedure in contradiction with the provisions of the Civil Proceedings Act and the Law on the Family	Initiated on: 31.10.2011 Initiated by: member on a Judicial council (or President of the Court?) Based on: Report from working visit of representatives of second instance court	Suspension from 21.12.2011 to 08.06.2012	Dismissed 20.03.2012	Appeal submitted on 30.03.2012 Appeal rejected 08.06.2012
judge from the Basic Court Gostivar Eljesa Aliu	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the</i>	owing to failure to take process actions the judge contributed to the occurrence of absolute statute of limitations in many misdemeanour	Initiated on: 27.12.2010 Initiated by: members of JC	No decision for suspension	<i>Procedure stopped 23.2.2011</i> <i>Please clarify of</i>	No appeal

Commented [ΦT3]: Initiated by Member of JC

	<i>court proceedings on specific cases;</i>		Based on: 2022 нема податок		this was stopped due to resignation	
--	---	--	--------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--

Initiated 2012

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
Judge from the Veles Basic Court Angelina Bosilkova	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	in contravention of Article 212 paragraph 2 of the Law on the Execution of Sanctions, effective and enforceable cases for collection of the fine and costs were not communicated to the competent court based on the place of residence, in contradiction with Article 214 paragraph 2 of the Law on the Execution of Sanctions, after a written warning was communicated to the sentenced person and within the time limit the same failed to pay voluntarily the fine and the costs, such execution misdemeanour cases were not communicated to the Attorney General's Office for forced collection	Initiated on: 27.03.2012 Initiated by: member of the JC Based on: Information from the president on the court	Suspension from 08.03.2012 to 22.03.2013	Dismissed 17.05.2012 New dismissal decision adopted 28.11.2012	Appeal submitted on 11.07.2012 Appeal upheld by Supreme Court 1.10.2012 and dismissal decision quashed Appeal submitted on 19.02.2013 Appeal rejected 22.03.2013
Judge from Kumanovo Basic Court <u>Marina Diskovska</u>	Article 73 paragraph (1) line 5 <i>A judicial function of a judge is terminated: - If he is convicted to at least 6 months of mandatory imprisonment by a final court verdict for a criminal act.</i>	Judge was found guilty in a criminal trial at first instance, which carried unconditional sentence of at least 6 months. What was the criminal offence committed (please provide relevant article of Criminal Code)?	Please provide date of the first instance criminal court judgment against the judge and date of any appeal judgment. <u>Judgment by BC on 26.01.2011</u> <u>Appeal rejected by Appeal court 27.03.2012</u>	Was there a decision of temporary suspension? From when until when? <u>No decision of temporary suspension</u>	Termination decision adopted 14.06.2012	

Formatted: English (United States)

Initiated 2013

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
judge in the Basic Court Kocani Orce Filipov	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	Article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 of the Law on courts (the judge acted contrary to Article 202, paragraph 1 and 3 and Article 203 paragraph 1 of the Rules of Court (Off.Gazette.71/07 and 157 / 09) after declaring the court testament of the will and the original minutes of the declaration of the will is not submitted to the notary for more than one year, and subject is archived as closed. In higher number of cases the judge acted contrary to article 9, paragraph 1 of the Law on managing of the movement of cases in courts. The case are not accepted by the day or by the end of the next day. He didn't act on a request for correction of the decisions in the cases VPP No..21/07 and VPS No.9/76 . The judge brutally violated the rules of the Code for Judges, the principle of decency.	Initiated on: 18.12.2013 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: ???	Suspension from 12.02.2014 to 12.09.2014	Dismissed 23.04.2014	Appeal submitted on 26.05.2014 Appeal rejected 12.09.2014
judge in the Basic Court Radovis Angel Dimanov	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	The judge in the cases P no. 7/13 P and no. 8/13 acted contrary to Article 101 paragraph 1 of the Law on Civil Procedure, so he didn't dismissed disorderly lawsuits, with proposing a temporary measure. For the case P. No.7/13 the judge contrary to the Article 2, paragraph 1 ruled out of bounds on the lawsuit. In the mentioned cases contrary to the case of Article 5, paragraph 1 of the Law	Initiated on: 18.12.2013 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: ???	Suspension from 13.02.2014 to 10.07.2014	Dismissed 27.03.2014	Appeal submitted on 25.04.2014 Appeal rejected 10.07.2014

		on Civil Procedure of the opposite party the judge not allowed to plead to the allegations and claims of the lawsuit. As authorized judge in charge of execution of sanctions in the period 2007-2009 in many cases failed to provide the final decisions to the Ministry of interior for the execution of MB for prohibiting for driving a motor vehicle , in violation of Article 222 paragraph 1 of the Law on Execution of sanctions				
Judge in the Basic Court Radovis Nada Dimitrova	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 4 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i> <i>Acting upon cases contrary to the principle of trial within reasonable time, or respectively delay of the court proceedings without justified grounds;</i>	Article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 and 4 of the Law on courts (the judge in many of the misdemeanour cases is not respected the deadline for written judgments, thus violating Article 2, paragraph 2 of LCP in connection with article 373, paragraph 1 and art.455, paragraph 3 of LCP. In more cases put the clause of validity, without to sent the copy of the decision is to the defendants, in violation of Article 2, paragraph 2 and Article 127 of the LCP. In more cases did not take procedural steps within a reasonable time, there aren't scheduled reports for trial, again opened a trial against the law , decided to return to the previous state without such a request in the case against the Article 86 - 88 of the LPC.	Initiated on: 18.12.2013 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: ???	Suspension from 22.02.2014 – on going	Dismissed 04.07.2014	Appeal submitted on ??? In 2015 Supreme Court accepted the Appeal Judicial council on 27.05.2015 stopped the procedure and reject the initiation due to untimely initiated procedure
judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Fadil Dzelili	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 3 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	Article 75, paragraph 1, line 2 and 3 of the Law on court (the judge in the case K br.485/11 pronounced criminal sanction which is not provided in the Criminal Code on that case. In case K No.487/11 the judge quashed the measure detention (which was due to unavailability of	Initiated on: 18.11.2013 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on:	Suspension from 12.02.2014 to 27.03.2014	<i>Procedure stopped 27.03.2014 because judge resigned</i>	N/A

	<i>Biased conduct of the court proceedings, especially in view of the equal treatment of the parties;</i>	certain defendants could not lift until the final judgment) although there not legal requirements and to the second defendant returned his passport. In case K No..463/11 has made a decision contrary to the allowance provisions from the LCP, which are concerning to the correction of written judgment.	Complaint			
--	---	--	-----------	--	--	--

Initiated 2014

Procedure against	Article of Law on Courts	Facts	Initiation	Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts	Decision	Appeal to Supreme Court
judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Skopje Branka Ilievska	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 <i>Unprofessional, untimely and inattentive exercising of the judicial office in conducting the court proceedings on specific cases;</i>	Article 75 paragraph 1, line 2 of the Law on Courts (in many cases did not take procedural actions in terms of observing the legal limits, which cause obsolete of cases. In some obsolete cases the judge decided to release of responsibility after 6 months from the date of obsolescence, and for some cases did not made a judgment, that accused the defendants are released from responsibility, as well as they are nor showed in monthly reports for completed cases)	Initiated on: 27.01.2014 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on: Врс основа на извршена контрола од претседател на ОС Скопје 1 на прекршочни предмети кои не се евидентирани во АКМИС системот.	Suspension from 04.03.2014 to 08.07.2014	Dismissed 27.03.2014	Appeal submitted on 22.04.2014 Addition on appeal 28.04.2014 Appeal rejected 08.07.2014

Formatted: Macedonian

judge in the Basic Kicevo Dragi Bileski		Please clarify the input for the 2014 progress report: "on 15 July 2014, decision for termination of judicial office of judge from Basic Court Kicevo was adopted". On what grounds? Under what article/ procedure ? Decision of Lustration Commission br.07-3608/2 from 16.11.2012 and br.07-143/1 from 25.11.2013 Appeal rejected by Administrative Court 20.12.2013 Appeal rejected by High Administrative Court 12.06.2014 Dismissed by JC 15.07.2014		Suspension from 30.10.2013	Dismissed JC on 15.07.2014	
---	--	---	--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Formatted Table

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Initiated 2015

judge at the Basic Court Skopje 1 Kadrije Salmani	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 10</u>	чл.75 ст.1 алинея 10 од Законот за судовите (го нарушила уредот во вршењето на судиската функција со што сторила повреда на судскиот кодекс. Со правосилна пресуда е огласена за виновна за кривично дело несовесно работење во службата од чл.353-в ст.3 в.в. со ст.1 в.в. со чл.45 од КЗ, при што и е изречена алтернативна мерка- условна осуда со казна затвор во траење од 9 месеци. која нема да се изврши доколку не стори ново кривично дело за 2 години од правосилноста на пресудата.	Initiated on: 19.05.2015 Initiated by: President of the Court Based on:врз основа правосилна кривична пресуда за несовесно работење во службата	Suspension from 10.07.2015	Dismissed 12.11.2015	Appeal Appeal rejected 07.03.2016
---	---------------------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------	-------------------------	--

Formatted: Macedonian

Initiated 2016

<u>Procedure against</u>	<u>Article of Law on Courts</u>	<u>Facts</u>	<u>Initiation</u>	<u>Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Appeal to Supreme Court</u>
judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Risto Siljanoski	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 10</u>	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 10 ОД Закон за судовите(го нарушил угледот во вршењето на судиската функција со што сторил повреда на судскиот кодекс)	Initiated on: <u>15.07.2016</u> Initiated by: Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti		Judicial council on 25.07.2016 <u>reject the initiation</u>	
judge at the Basic Court Skopje 1 Aleksandra Risteska	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2, а во врска со чл.74 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите (постапила спротивно на чл.498 ст.1 од ЗКП и издала казнен налог против обвинетиот и го огласила за виновен)	Initiated on: <u>09.12.2016</u> Initiated by: Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti		Judicial council on 24.11.2017 <u>reject the initiation</u>	
judge at the Basic Court Skopje 1 Darko Todorovski	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2, а во врска со чл.74 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите (по предмет к.бр.583/14 неосновано ги одлагал главните претреси поради што дошло до застарување на кривичното гонење, по повеќе предмети ги пречекорил законските рокови предвидени во чл.373 ст.1 од ЗКП)	Initiated on: <u>05.12.2016</u> Initiated by: Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti		Judicial council on 24.11.2017 <u>reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Not Highlight

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: English (United States)

Initiated 2017

<u>Procedure against</u>	<u>Article of Law on Courts</u>	<u>Facts</u>	<u>Initiation</u>	<u>Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Appeal to Supreme Court</u>
judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Risto Siljanoski	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 10</u>	чл.75 став 1 алинеја 10 в.в со член 74 став 1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите,судијата постапил нестручно и несовесно и грубо ги повредил правилата на Судскиот Кодекс со кој се нарушува угледот на судиската функција.Утврдено врз основа на правосилна пресуда на Основниот суд Струга К.бр.44/16 од 11.11.2016 година, потврдена и од Апелациониот суд Битола со пресуда КЖ бр.73.17 од 28.3.2017година.	Initiated on: <u>23.06.2017</u> Initiated by: <u>Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti</u>	Suspension from <u>23.11.2017 to 04.02.2019</u>	Dismissed JC on 20.12.2017	Appeal submitted on <u>15.01.2018</u> Appeal rejected <u>04.02.2019</u>
judge at the Basic Court Radovis Limonka Doldurova	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 1,2 and 10</u>	чл.75 став 1 алинеја 1,2,10 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите, во 33 предмети не ја објавила пресудата по завршните зборови.	Initiated on: <u>26.04.2017</u> Initiated by: <u>Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti</u>		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>20.12.2017</u>	
judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Trajce Skrceski	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 10</u>	чл.75 став 1 алинеја 2 и 10 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите(Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06) и член 27 од Законот за изменување и дополнување на Законот за судовите(Сл.в на РМ бр.150/10), а во врска со чл.74 ст.2 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите.Од табеларниот преглед на АКМИС системот утврдено е дека судијата во 2015 и 2016 година не ги објавувал одлуките и постапил	Initiated on: <u>14.07.2017</u> Initiated by: <u>Sovet za utvrduvawe na fakti</u>	Suspension from <u>24.11.2017</u>	Dismissed JC on 19.06.2019 <u>18.11.2019 confirmed suspension</u>	Appeal submitted on <u>17.07.2019</u> Supreme Court accept the appeal od <u>11.11.2019</u>

Formatted Table

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Font color: Red

		<u>спротивно на чл. 437, 324 ст.3 и 4 и чл.325 од Законот за парнична постапка, како и ги пречекорил законските рокови за изготвување на одлуките спротивно на чл.326 ст.1 од ЗПП.</u>				
<u>judge at the Basic Court Tetovo</u> <u>Nazif Ilijazi</u>	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),не постапил по известување на ОЈО Тетово за откажување од кривично гонење против обвинетите, не донел решение за запирање на постапката туку истата ја продолжил и донел пресуда.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>26.10.2017</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>member of the JC</u>		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>19.06.2019</u>	
<u>judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia</u> <u>Jovo Vangelovski</u>	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),постапил по барање за заштита на законитоста на Јавниот обвинител на РМ спротивно на чл.169 ст.3 од ЗКП и чл.5 од Законот за Јавно обвинителство за гонење на кривични дела поврзани и кои произлегуваат од содржината на незаконско следење на комуникаците наместо да се отфрли барањето како поднесено од неовластено лице.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>19.12.2017</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>member of the JC</u>	<u>Suspension from</u> <u>10.09.2019</u>	<u>Dismissed JC</u> <u>on 10.09.2019</u>	<u>Appeal submitted on</u> <u>16.10.2019</u> <u>Appeal rejected</u> <u>09.03.2020</u>

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt

judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Risto Katavenovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),постапил по барање за заштита на законитоста на Јавниот обвинител на РМ спротивно на чл.169 ст.3 од ЗКП и чл.5 од Законот за Јавно обвинителство за гонење на кривични дела поврзани и кои произлегуваат од содржината на незаконско следење на комуникаците наместо да се отфрли барањето како поднесено од неовластено лице.	Initiated on: 19.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC	Suspension from 27.01.2020 - 24.09.2020	Dismissed JC on 27.01.2020 the decision for dismissal of the judge entered into force on 24.09.2020, when it was published in the Official Gazette of RSM	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Faik Arslani	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),постапил по барање за заштита на законитоста на Јавниот обвинител на РМ спротивно на чл.169 ст.3 од ЗКП и чл.5 од Законот за Јавно обвинителство за гонење на кривични дела поврзани и кои произлегуваат од содржината на незаконско следење на комуникаците наместо да се отфрли барањето како поднесено од неовластено лице.	Initiated on: 19.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.09.2019	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Xemali Saiti	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),постапил по барање за заштита на законитоста на Јавниот обвинител на РМ спротивно на чл.169 ст.3 од ЗКП и чл.5 од Законот за Јавно обвинителство за гонење на кривични дела поврзани и кои произлегуваат од содржината на	Initiated on: 19.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.09.2019	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

		<u>незаконско следење на комуникаците наместо да се отфрли барањето како поднесено од неовластено лице.</u>				
<u>judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia</u> <u>Rahilka Stojkovska</u>	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06), постапил по барање за заштита на законитоста на Јавниот обвинител на РМ спротивно на чл.169 ст.3 од ЗКП и чл.5 од Законот за Јавно обвинителство за гонење на кривични дела поврзани и кои произлегуваат од содржината на незаконско следење на комуникаците наместо да се отфрли барањето како поднесено од неовластено лице.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>19.12.2017</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>member of the JC</u>	<u>Suspension from</u> <u>10.09.2019</u>	<u>Dismissed JC</u> <u>on 10.09.2019</u>	<u>Appeal submitted on</u> <u>16.10.2019</u> <u>Appeal rejected</u> <u>13.03.2020</u>
<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Ljiljana Ivanovska Shopova</u>	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06), во правната поука советот навел дека обвинетиот има право на жалба до ВСРМ и налогот за мерката притвор да биде извршен веднаш по правосилноста на решението а всушност решението на Апелациониот суд е правосилно веднаш. Со овие дејствија овозможиле обвинетите да се дадат во бекство.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>28.12.2017</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>member of the JC</u>		<u>Judicial council</u> <u>on 20.03.2018</u> <u>reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt

judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Cvetanka Perik	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),во правната поука советот навел дека обвинетиот има право на жалба до ВСРМ и налогот за мерката притвор да биде извршен веднаш по правосилноста на решението а всушност решението на Апелациониот суд е правосилно веднаш.Со овие дејствија овозможиле обвинетите да се дадат во бекство.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 17.07.2019	
judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Safet Kadrii	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),во правната поука советот навел дека обвинетиот има право на жалба до ВСРМ и налогот за мерката притвор да биде извршен веднаш по правосилноста на решението а всушност решението на Апелациониот суд е правосилно веднаш.Со овие дејствија овозможиле обвинетите да се дадат во бекство.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 17.07.2019	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Jovo Vangelovski	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),советот на ВСРМ постапувал по жалби кои се недозволени,бидејќи не се предвидени со закон и треба да бидат отфрлени.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.02.2020	

Formatted: English (United States)

judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Kiro Zdravev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),советот на ВСРМ постапувал по жалби кои се недозволени,бидејќи не се предвидени со закон и треба да бидат отфрлени.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.02.2020	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Vasil Greev	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),советот на ВСРМ постапувал по жалби кои се недозволени,бидејќи не се предвидени со закон и треба да бидат отфрлени.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.02.2020	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Xemali Saiti	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),советот на ВСРМ постапувал по жалби кои се недозволени,бидејќи не се предвидени со закон и треба да бидат отфрлени.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.02.2020	
judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Faik Arslani	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06),советот на ВСРМ постапувал по жалби кои се недозволени,бидејќи не се предвидени со закон и треба да бидат отфрлени.	Initiated on: 28.12.2017 Initiated by: member of the JC		Procedure stopped 10.02.2020	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt

Initiated 2018

<u>Procedure against</u>	<u>Article of Law on Courts</u>	<u>Facts</u>	<u>Initiation</u>	<u>Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Appeal to Supreme Court</u>
Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Lidija Nedelkova [100891]	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 10</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 10 од Законот за судовите, во два наврати во својство на претседател на судот сторила грубо повредување на Кодексот за судска етика, со кое повредување го нарушила угледот на судиската функција.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 31.01.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> member of the JC		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 20.01.2020	
Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Vesna Kiceec [100891]	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,4</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2 и 4 од Законот за судовите, в.в. Со чл.74 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите (Сл.в на РМ бр.58/06), во постапката по притворски предмет каде обвинет е странски државјанин не постапила со особена итност, предметот го насрочувала на подолги временски интервали, со што овозможила обвинетиот да биде ослободен од притвор и да биде недостапен за судот во текот на постапката.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 28.06.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> member of the JC	<u>Suspension from</u> 24.12.2019	<u>Dismissed by</u> 23.01.2021	<u>Appeal submitted on</u> 29.01.2021 <u>Appeal rejected</u> 25.03.2021
Judge in the Basic Court Prilep Ljuben Binoski [100891]	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите, не ги применил одредбите од ЗКП и Законот за извршување на кривични санкции при што ги повредил правата на осудените лица и оневозможил истите да го добијат правото на амнестија што им следува по закон</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.05.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> President of court		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 13.12.2019	
Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1						

Formatted: Highlight

<u>Dobriła</u> <u>Kacarska</u> CLOSED						
Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 <u>Ivan Dzolev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 3,7,9</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 3,7 и 9 од Законот за судовите, пристрасно ја водела судската постапка,намерно ги повредила правилата за правично судење и грубо ги повредила правилата на судскиот кодекс со кој што се нарушува угледот на судската функција во предметот КОК бр.21/18.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.09.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 12.11.2019	
Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo, a temporary judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 <u>Marija Skalova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 line 8</u>	<u>Article 76, paragraph 1, line 8 of the Law on Courts, when deciding, the positions expressed in the final judgments of the European Court of Human Rights have not been applied.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.09.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 26.09.2019	
Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Jovanka Nikodinovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8,9</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 и 9 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,пенавремено или немарно вршење на судската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети,пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките,постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 22.11.2018 <u>Initiated by:</u> legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 27.08.2019	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

		<u>рок,односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ,повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење,грубо повредување на правилата на судскиот кодекс со кој се нарушува угледот на судската функција.</u>				
Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Jetmire Ajdini Boshnaku CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8,9</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 и 9 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети,пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките,постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок,односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ,повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење,грубо повредување на правилата на судскиот кодекс со кој се нарушува угледот на судската функција.</u>	Initiated on: 22.11.2018 Initiated by: legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 27.08.2019	
Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Natasha Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8,9</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 и 9 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети,пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на</u>	Initiated on: 22.11.2018 Initiated by: legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> 27.08.2019	

		странките, постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок, односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ, повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење, грубо повредување на правилата на судскиот кодекс со кој се нарушува угледот на судската функција.				
Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Tatjana Mihajlova CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8,9	чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 и 9 од Законот за судовите, несовесно, ненавремено или немарно вршење на судската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети, пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките, постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок, односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ, повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење, грубо повредување на правилата на судскиот кодекс со кој се нарушува угледот на судската функција.	Initiated on: 22.11.2018 Initiated by: legal person		Procedure stopped 27.08.2019	
Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Tatjana Mihajlova	article 75 paragraph 1 line 8	чл.75 став 1 алинеа 8 од Законот за судовите, ги пречекорила своите службени овластувања постапила спротивно на чл.88 став 2 од Законот за судовите и чл.114 став 2, чл.174 став 1 и	Initiated on: 13.11.2018 Initiated by: member of the JC	Dismissed from the case	Dismissed from the case	Appeal submitted on 09.02.2021 Appeal rejected 29.04.2021

Formatted: Highlight

CLOSED		чл.175 став 2 од Судскиот деловник, издала усна наредба дека не смее да се постапува по барањата за издавање наредба за претрес поднесени од СЈО на кои се од итна природа, истите се заведени 3 дена подоцна и врз основа на усна наредба распределени се на судија без автоматска распределба на предметот.				
--------	--	---	--	--	--	--

Initiated 2019

	<u>Procedure against</u>	<u>Article of Law on Courts</u>	<u>Facts</u>	<u>Initiation</u>	<u>Suspension under Art 67(2) Law on Courts</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Appeal to Supreme Court</u>
1.	Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Silvana Ilievska CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 2</u>	чл.74 ст 1 алинеја 2 од Закон за судовите(нестручно и несовесно вршење на судиската функција) во постапката по одреден предмет намерно ги повредила правилата за правично судење	Initiated on: 14.01.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 27.01.2020	
2.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Lidija Nedelkova CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,4 and 10</u>	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2,4 и 10 од Законот за судовите	Initiated on: 01.04.2019 Initiated by: President of the court	Suspension from 16.02.2021	Dismissed JC on 16.02.2021	Appeal submitted on 25.05.2021 Appeal rejected 28.06.2021

Formatted Table

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

3.	Judge in the Administrative Court Mustafa Sahini CLOSED	article 74 and 75	чл.74 и 75 од Законот за судовите (во постапката по предмет У-2 бр.813/2018 во Управниот суд не ја доставил тужбата до тужената страна, не ја доставил пресудата по истиот предмет до застапникот и истата ја заверил како правосилна и од Агенцијата за катастар и недвижности била спроведена како правосилна.Судијата не побарал свое изземање од предметот бидејќи бидејќи во претходно во постапката по денационализација бил адвокат на сега тужителите од предметот У-2 бр.813/2018.	Initiated on: 19.03.2019 Initiated by: the state attorney of the Republic of northern Macedonia	Suspension from 10.06.2019	Dismissed JC on 20.10.2020	Appeal submitted on 03.12.2020 Appeal rejected 01.04.2021
4.	Judge in the Basic Court Prilep Zoran Srevanoski CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите поради нестручно постапување и неприменување на одредбите од Законот за прекршочна постапка и Законот за кривична постапка,неосновано го привилегирал обвинетиот на штета на законот и интересите на државниот буџет.	Initiated on: 09.05.2019 Initiated by: President of the court		Procedure stopped 13.12.2019	
5.	Judge in the High Administrative Court Anita Danilova CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,7 and 9	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2,3,7 и 9 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на одредби од Законот за денационализација и Уредбата за спроведување на постапката за денационализација.	Initiated on: 15.03.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 19.06..2019 reject the initiation	
6.	Judge in the Administrative Court	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,7 and 9	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2,3,7 и 9 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на одредби од Законот за	Initiated on: 15.03.2019		Judicial council on 19.06..2019 reject the	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Macedonian

	Radoslav Dragicevski CLOSED		денационализација и Уредбата за спроведување на постапката за денационализација.	Initiated by: Individual person		initiation	
7	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Marika Mateska CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,4 and 10	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2,4 и 10 од Законот за судовите. Не закажала и не одржала ниту едно судење во предметите по барања за судење во разумен рок и предизвикала застој во работењето на одделот за судење во разумен рок	Initiated on: 01.04.2019 Initiated by: President of the court		Procedure stopped 12.11.2019	
8	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Sofce Gavrilova Efremova CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на чл.53 од Уставот на РМ, чл.19 од Законот за адвокатура	Initiated on: 03.04.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 10.07..2019 reject the initiation	
9	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Ognen Stavrev CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на чл.53 од Уставот на РМ, чл.19 од Законот за адвокатура	Initiated on: 03.04.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 10.07..2019 reject the initiation	
10	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Goran	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на чл.53 од Уставот на РМ, чл.19 од Законот за адвокатура	Initiated on: 03.04.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 10.07..2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Bosevski CLOSED						
11	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Dzeneta Bektovic CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите. Повреда на чл.53 од Уставот на РМ, чл.19 од Законот за адвокатура	Initiated on: 03.04.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 10.07..2019 reject the initiation	
12	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Vlado Dejanovski CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 од Законот за судовите.Несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водење на судиската постапка по одделни предмети (непочитување на законски рокови)	Initiated on: 20.05.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 27.08..2019 reject the initiation	
13	Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Silvana Ilievska CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2 and 10	чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 и 10 од Законот за судовите.Несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водење на судиската постапка по одделни предмети, грубо повредување на правилата на Судскиот кодекс со кое се нарушува угледот на судиската функција	Initiated on: 22.05.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 16.06..2019 reject the initiation	
14	Judge in the Basic Court Bitola Silvana Ilievska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge	Initiated on: 17.06.2019 Initiated by: Individual person	Suspension from 10.02.2020	Dismissed JC on 10.02.2020	Appeal submitted on 02.03.2020 Supreme Court accept the appeal od

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED		<u>responsible</u>			<u>Dismissed JC on 20.10.2020</u>	<u>12.06.2020</u>
<u>15</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Vladimir Pancevski CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 2 article 79 paragraph 1 line 1 and 4</u>	<u>чл.74 СТ.1 алинеа 2 в.в. со чл. 79 ст. 1 алинеа 1 и 4 од Законот за судовите. Непочитување на Законот за судовите,Законот за управување со движење на предметите во судовите и Судскиот деловник при распределба на судските предмети.</u>	Initiated on: <u>08.06.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>member of the JC</u>	Suspension <u>from</u> <u>17.07.2019</u>	<u>Dismissed JC on 25.12.2019</u>	<u>Appeal submitted on 31.01.2020</u> <u>Appeal rejected 22.06.2020</u>
<u>16</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Suzana Doncevska CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 3</u>	<u>чл.75 ст 1 алинеја 3 од Закон за судовите(нестручно и несовесно вршење на судиската функција)пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаквиот третман на странките</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.09.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>17</u>	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Jovo Vangelovski CLOSED	<u>article 79 paragraph 1 line 1 and 5</u>	<u>чл.79 ст.1 алинеа 1 од Законот за судови,пречекорување и повреда на законски овластувања, чл.79 ст.1 алинеи 1 и 5 од Законот за судовите пречекорување и повреда на законски овластувања и повреда на одредбите за измена на Годишниот распоред на судии</u>	Initiated on: <u>21.06.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person- judge at Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia</u>		<u>Procedure stopped 29.09.2020</u>	
<u>18</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo, a temporary judge in the Basic Court	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 line 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.06.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>Procedure stopped 27.01.2020</u>	

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Left

	Skopje 2 Marija Skalova judge at the Basic Court Skopje 2 CLOSED		be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
19	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Eftim Popeski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.07.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		Judicial council on 27.08..2019 reject the initiation	
20	Judge in the Administrative Court Elizabeta Vaskova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.07.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 02.09.2019 reject the initiation	
21	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Vulnet Vinca CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.07.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 20.09.2019 reject the initiation	
22	Judge in the High Administrative Court	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which	Initiated on: 22.07.2019 Initiated by:		The komismission of Judicial council on 18.09.2019	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Vladanka Pancurova Sulejmanova CLOSED		the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person		reject the initiation	
23	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Teodora Eftimovska Bojkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.07.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 17.09.2019 reject the initiation	
24	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Violeta Nofitovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.07.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 17.09.2019 reject the initiation	
25	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Gerasim Gerasimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.07.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 17.09.2019 reject the initiation	
26	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.07.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 17.09.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

27.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 <u>Dijana Nacevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.08.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial Council on 13.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
28.	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Lidija Martinova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.08.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial Council on 13.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
29.	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Stanka Zafirovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.08.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial Council on 13.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
30.	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Fatmir Skender</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.08.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial Council on 13.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
31.	Judge in the Basic Court Kocani <u>Violeta Miladinova</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 27.08.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 22.10.2019 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED		be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
32	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Sande Zikov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.08.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.11.2019 reject the initiation	
33	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Melek Alija Elezi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.08.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.11.2019 reject the initiation	
34	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Enver Amzai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.08.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.11.2019 reject the initiation	
35	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.08.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.11.2019 reject the initiation	
36	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo Vjolca Mora Bajrami	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot	Initiated on: 30.08.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.11.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED		<u>be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
37.	Judge in the Administrative Court Elizabeta Vaskova CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2, 7</u>	<u>чл.75 ст.1 алинеја 2 и 7 од Законот за судовите. Несовесно, ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водење на судиската постапка по одделни предмети (непочитување на законски рокови), злоупотреба на положбата или пречекорување на службените овластувања</u>	Initiated on: 16.09.2019 Initiated by: legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 28.10.2019 reject the initiation	
38.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Skopje Sofija Lalicic CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 2, article 74 paragraph 3 line 1,2</u>	<u>чл.74 ст.1 алинеја 2 В.В. СО Ч.Л.74 ст.3 алинеја 1 и 2 од Законот за судовите.нестручно и несовесно вршење на судиската функција,повреда сторена со намера или очигледна небрежност по вина на судијата без оправдани причини со што предизвикала тешки последици</u>	Initiated on: 30.09.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 20.12.2019 reject the initiation	
39.	Judge in the Basic Court Tetovo Dusanka Merzdanovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 23.09.2019 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
40.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Gordana Bileska	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on:08.10.2019 Initiated by: legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED						
41.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Irena Petrusevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
42.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Saska Trajkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
43.	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Artan Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
44.	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Jagoda Bogdanoska CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8	чл.75 став 1 алинея 2,3,4,8 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети,пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките,постапување по	Initiated on: 02.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

			<u>предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок, односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ, повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење</u>				
45	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Rafiz Idrizi CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 од Законот за судовите, несовесно, ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети, пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките, постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок, односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ, повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судиите при судење</u>	Initiated on: 02.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation	
46	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 од Законот за судовите, несовесно, ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети, пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките, постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок, односно одложување на</u>	Initiated on: 02.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

			<u>судската постапка без постоење на законски основ,повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судните при судење</u>				
47	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Izet Ismaili CLOSED	<u>article 75 paragraph 1 line 2,3,4,8</u>	<u>чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2,3,4,8 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети,пристрасно водење на судската постапка особено во однос на еднаков третман на странките,постапување по предметите спротивно на начелото за судење во разумен рок,односно одложување на судската постапка без постоење на законски основ,повреда на прописите или на друг начин нарушување на независноста на судните при судење</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 02.10.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
48	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Jovanka Nikodinovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 16.10.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u> legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
49	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 16.10.2019 <u>Initiated by:</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019</u>	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Jetmire Ajdini Bosnjaku CLOSED		the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	legal person		reject the initiation	
50	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2019 Initiated by: legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 27.11.2019 reject the initiation	
51	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Jovanka Spirovska Paneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 28.11.2019 reject the initiation	
52	Judge in the Basic Court Resen Nikola Bundeovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 23.09.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 21.09.2019 reject the initiation	
53	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 16.10.2019		The komismission of Judicial council on	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Skopje Saska Trajkovska CLOSED		<u>professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by: : legal person</u>		27.11.2019 reject the initiation	
54	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 1 Skopje Sofija Lalacic CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 line 2 article 74 paragraph 3 line 1,2	<u>чл.74 ст.1 алинеја 2 В.В. СО Ч.Л.74 ст.3 алинеа 1 2 од Законот за судовите.нестручно и несовесно вршење на судиската функција,повреда сторена со намера или очигледна небрежност по вина на судијата без оправдани причини</u>	<u>Initiated on: 30.10.2019</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		The komismission of Judicial council on 03.12.2019 reject the initiation	
55	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Sofija Spasova Medarska CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 line 2 article 74 paragraph 3 line 1,2	<u>чл. 76 ст.1 т.7 од Законот за судовите, намерно и неоправдано направи крупна професи-онална грешка, при што различното толкување на правото и фактите не може да претставува основ за утврдување на одговорност на судијата</u>	<u>Initiated on: 02.10.2019</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		The komismission of Judicial council on 02.12.2019 reject the initiation	
56	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>чл. 76 ст.1 т.7 од Законот за судовите, намерно и неоправдано направи крупна професи-онална грешка, при што различното толкување на правото и фактите не може да претставува основ за утврдување на одговорност на</u>	<u>Initiated on: 27.09.2019</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The komismission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Macedonian

			<u>судијата</u>				
<u>57</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Dimcevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>чл. 76 ст.1 т.7 од Законот за судовите, намерно и неоправдано направи крупна професионална грешка, при што различното толкување на пра- вото и фактите не може да претставува основ за ут- врдување на одговорност на судијата</u>	Initiated on: 27.09.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
<u>58</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Dijana Nacevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 27.09.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.11.2019 reject the initiation	
<u>59</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 30.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.11.2019 reject the initiation	
<u>60</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major</u>	Initiated on: 06.11.2019		The kommission of Judicial council on	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Skopje Saska Trajkovska CLOSED		<u>professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by: :</u> <u>legal person</u>		<u>19.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	
61	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Minoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1</u> <u>item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>07.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by: :</u> <u>legal person</u>		<u>The komismission</u> <u>of Judicial</u> <u>council on</u> <u>19.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
62	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1</u> <u>item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The komismission</u> <u>of Judicial</u> <u>council on</u> <u>04.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
63	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Radica Vasiljevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1</u> <u>item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The komismission</u> <u>of Judicial</u> <u>council on</u> <u>04.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
64	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje	<u>article 76 paragraph 1</u> <u>item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.11.2019</u>		<u>The komismission</u> <u>of Judicial</u> <u>council on</u>	Formatted: Macedonian

	Fatmir Skender CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Individual person		04.12.2019 reject the initiation	
65	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Gordana Jakova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.11.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019 reject the initiation	
66	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Ismail Elezi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2019 Initiated by: : legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.12.2019 reject the initiation	
67	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Numan Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 4	чл. 76 ст.1 т.4 од Законот за судовите, без оправдани причини не ги закажува рочиштата во предметите кои му се доделени во работа или на друг начин ја одолговлекува постапката	Initiated on: 20.11.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.06.2020 reject the initiation	
68	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Liljana Petreska	article 75 paragraph 1 item 4	чл. 75 ст.1 т.4 од Законот за судовите, очигледно прекршување на правилата за изземање во ситуации кога судијата знаел или требало да знае за постоење на некоја од основите за изземање предвидени со закон.	Initiated on: 21.11.2019 Initiated by: : legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.02.2020 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Zafirovska CLOSED						
69	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Vesna Kiceec CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 item 4 article 76 paragraph 1 item 4	чл. 75 ст.1 т.4 од Законот за судовите, <u>очигледно</u> <u>прекршување на правилата за</u> <u>изземање во ситуации кога</u> <u>судијата знаел или требало да</u> <u>знае за постоење на некоја од</u> <u>основите за изземање</u> <u>предвидени со закон. чл. 76 ст.1</u> <u>т.4 од Законот за судовите, без</u> <u>оправдани причини не ги</u> <u>закажува рочиштата во</u> <u>предметите кои му се доделени</u> <u>во работа или на друг начин ја</u> <u>одолговлекува постапката.</u>	Initiated on: 22.11.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.11.2020 reject the initiation	
70	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Nake Georgiev CLOSED	article 75 paragraph 1 item 4	чл. 75 ст.1 т.4 од Законот за судовите, <u>очигледно</u> <u>прекршување на правилата за</u> <u>изземање во ситуации кога</u> <u>судијата знаел или требало да</u> <u>знае за постоење на некоја од</u> <u>основите за изземање</u> <u>предвидени со закон.</u>	Initiated on: 26.11.2019 Initiated by: : Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.03.2021 reject the initiation	
71	Judge in the Administrative Court Elizabeta Vaskova CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 item 2 article 75 paragraph 1 item 7	чл.74 ст.1 алинеа 2 а в.в. со чл.75 став 1 алинеа 2 од Законот за судовите, <u>несовесно,ненавремено</u> <u>или немарно вршење на</u> <u>судиската функција во водењето</u> <u>на судската постапка по одделни</u> <u>предмети. И чл.75 став 1 алинеа</u> <u>7 од Законот за</u> <u>судовите,злоупотреба на</u> <u>положбата или пречекорување</u> <u>на службените овластувања.</u>	Initiated on: 27.11.2019 Initiated by: : legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.01.2020 reject the initiation	
72	Judge in the Basic Court Veles	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, <u>deliberately and</u> <u>unjustifiably made a major</u> <u>professional error, during which</u>	Initiated on: 27.11.2019 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.01.2020	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Gabriela Gajdova CLOSED		<u>the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Individual person		<u>reject the initiation</u>	
73	Judge in the Basic Court Kriva Palanka Orce Jankovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 25.10.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 20.12.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
74	Judge in the Basic Court Sveti Nikole Violeta Burovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 28.11.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 20.12.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
75	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 08.01.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
76	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 08.01.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

77.	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislimi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 08.01.2020 reject the initiation	
78.	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Minoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 14.01.2020 reject the initiation	
79.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Marika Mateska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
80.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Afrim Fidani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

81.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Spend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
82.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Xemali Saiti CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
83.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Rahilka Stojkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
84.	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Besha Ademi	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED		<u>responsible</u>				
85	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Svetlana Trajkovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
86	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Vasil Greev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
87	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Nikolco Nikolovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
88	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komismission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	Faik Arslani CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
89	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Snezana Georgievska Zekirija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
90	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Nadica Andreeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
91	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Emilija Ilievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.11.2020 reject the initiation	
92	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 03.12.2019		The kommission of Judicial council on	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	of North Macedonia Marija Radevska Stefkova CLOSED		<u>professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>04.11.2020</u> <u>reject the initiation</u>	
<u>93</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Atanaskoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019 reject the initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
<u>94</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Jovanka Stojanoska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019 reject the initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
<u>95</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019 reject the initiation</u>	Formatted: Macedonian
<u>96</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on</u>	Formatted: Macedonian

	Afrim Jakupi CLOSED		<u>professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>04.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	
97	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Natasa Stefanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	
98	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Zarif Zekiri CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.11.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.12.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	
99	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Eftim Popeski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>31.05.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 27.08.2019</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	
100	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje Elisaveta Zafirova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>13.12.2019</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021</u> <u>reject the</u> <u>initiation</u>	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

	CLOSED						
<u>101.</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Jovanka Nikodinovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 13.12.2019 Initiated by: Legal person		The komisison of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>102.</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Jelmire Ajdini Boshnjaku CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 13.12.2019 Initiated by: Legal person		The komisison of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>103.</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Strumica Marija Gorgieva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 16.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		PRETSTAVKA	
<u>104.</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.12.2019 Initiated by: Individual person		The komisison of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

<u>105</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje <u>Lidija Krstevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.12.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 12.02.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>106</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid <u>Vulnet Vinca</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.12.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>107</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Skopje 2 Skopje <u>Antoaneta Dimovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>26.12.2019</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The komismission of Judicial council on 12.06.2019 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Formatted: Macedonian

Initiated 2020

<u>1</u>	Judge in the Basic Civil Court Skopje Jordanco Panev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>2</u>	Judge in the Basic Civil Court Skopje Jasmina Blazevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>3</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Esma Alibasic Jonuz CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>4</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Biljana Nikodinovska Petrovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted Table

5	Judge in the Administrative Court Eljmedine Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.02.2020 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation	
6	Judge in the Administrative Court Diturije Elezi Neziri CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.02.2020 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2020 reject the initiation	
7	Judge in the Basic Civil Court Skopje Jordanco Panev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.06.2020 reject the initiation	
8	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
9	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020	

	Lidija Kuzmanooska Baceska CLOSED		the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Lawyer		reject the initiation	
10	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
11	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
12	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
13	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Bekim	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 14.02.2020 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the	

	<u>Mehmedi</u> CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Lawyer</u>		<u>initiation</u>	
<u>14</u>	<u>Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar</u> <u>Ali Aliu</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 14.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>15</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo</u> <u>Dragan Atanaskoski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 14.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>16</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo</u> <u>Amdije Zenku</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 07.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.06.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>17</u>	<u>Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar</u> <u>Velko Strasevski</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 07.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.06.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

	CLOSED						
<u>18</u>	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.07.2020 Initiated by: : Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.06.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>19</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Lidija Kuzmanooska Baceska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 07.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.06.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>20</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 07.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.06.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>21</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Baki Alili CLOSED	<u>article 77 paragraph 1 item 2</u>	<u>чл. 77 ст.1 т.2 од Законот за судовите, искористување на својата функција и вгледот на судот за остварување на свои приватни интереси</u>	Initiated on: 17.03.2020 Initiated by: Drzavna komisija za sprecuvawe na korupcija		The Judicial council on 24.06.2020 issued a court reprimand	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

<u>22</u>	Judge in the Appellate Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>12.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.08.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>23</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Atanaskoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>02.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>24</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>02.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>25</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>02.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation	

<u>26</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Lidija Kuzmanoska Bacevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>02.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>27</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo <u>Dragan Minoski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>21.04.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>28</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Delcevo <u>Mile Gorgiev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>31.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>29</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Resen <u>Nikola</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.03.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.10.2020 reject the</u>	

	Bundeski CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
30	Judge in the Basic Court Kratovo Nikolco Simonov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.09.2020 reject the initiation	
31	Judge in the Basic Civil Court Skopje Saska Trajkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.02.2020 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
32	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Jovanka Stojanoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.03.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation	
33	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 02.03.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the	

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>34</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Lidija Kuzmanoska Bacevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 02.03.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>35</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Liljana Ickova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 02.03.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>36</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip <u>Zoranco Micevski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>37</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip <u>Sofija Maneva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.02.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>38</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Danka Ristova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>18.02.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>39</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Elizabeta Vaskova CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 item 2</u> <u>article 75 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>чл.74 ст.1 алинея 2 а в.в. со чл.75 став 1 алинея 2 од Законот за судовите, несовесно,ненавремено или немарно вршење на судиската функција во водењето на судската постапка по одделни предмети. И чл.75 став 1 алинея 7 од Законот за судовите,злоупотреба на положбата или пречекорување на службените овластувања.</u>	Initiated on: <u>27.04.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>40</u>	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Stojance Ribarev CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 2</u> <u>article 74 paragraph 3 line 2</u>	<u>чл.74 ст.1 алинея 2 и став 3 алинея 2 а в.в. со чл.79 став 1 алинея 1 од Законот за судовите,(сл.в 58/2006 , бр.35/2008 и 150/2010)</u>	Initiated on: <u>24.04.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>member of the JC</u>	<u>Suspension from 14.07.2021</u>	<u>Dismissed JC on 14.07.2021</u> Dismissed JC on 07.04..2022	<u>Appeal submitted on 12.08.2021</u> <u>Supreme Court accept the appeal od 01.12.2021</u>
<u>41</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Struga	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	Initiated on: <u>21.05.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, Bold

	Sonja Gonceska CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
42	President of the Basic Court Struga Ifet Bajramoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.05.2020 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
43	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Biljana Beleska Projkoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.08.2020 reject the initiation	
44	Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje Sofija Lalicic CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 line 2 article 74 paragraph 3 line 1.2	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.06.2020 Initiated by: : Lawyer	Suspension from 12.03.2021	Dismissed 19.03.2021	Appeal submitted on 20.04.2021 Appeal rejected 01.07.2021
45	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Zarif Zekiri CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.06.2020 Initiated by: : Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2020 reject the initiation	

Formatted: English (United States)

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>46</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Natasa Stefanovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>47</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>48</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Amdije Zenku CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>49</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Minoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.09.2020 reject the initiation	

<u>50</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>51</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Bekim Mehmedi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>52</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.06.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>53</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Resen Nikola Bundevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.01.2020</u> Initiated by: : <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 11.02.2019 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>54</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.01.2020</u> Initiated by: :		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 11.02.2019</u>	

	Ljubomir Bosevski CLOSED		the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Lawyer		reject the initiation	
55	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.01.2020 Initiated by: : Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.02.2019 reject the initiation	
56	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Vasil Batkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.01.2020 Initiated by: : Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.02.2019 reject the initiation	
57	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Jovo Vangelovski CLOSED		дл. 76 ст.1 т.4 и 5 од Законот за судовите, без оправдани причини не ги закажувал рочиштата во предметите кои му се доделени во работа или на друг начин ја одолговлекувал постапката, не го земал предметот во работа заради што настапува застареност на кривично гонење или застареност на извршување на кривична санкција за кривично дело	Initiated on: 03.02.2020 Initiated by: member of the JC		the request has been withdrawn 07.05.2020	
58	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 03.07.2020		The kommission of Judicial council	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt

	Amdije Zenku CLOSED		<u>professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		<u>on 02.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>59</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 03.07.2020</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>60</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 03.07.2020</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>61</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Lidija Kuzmanoska Bacevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 03.07.2020</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>62</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	<u>Initiated on: 03.07.2020</u> <u>Initiated by: : Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.10.2020 reject the</u>	

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>63</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Dragoslav Radicevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>06.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 17.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>64</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Lulzim Saliu CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>06.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 17.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>65</u>	Judge in the Administrative Court Drita Memeti CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>06.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 17.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>66</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>67</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Radica Vasilevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		The <u>kommission of Judicial council on 16.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>68</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		The <u>kommission of Judicial council on 16.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>69</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kocani Olgica Ristovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>20.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		The <u>kommission of Judicial council on 16.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>70</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje Gordana Bileska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>20.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		The <u>kommission of Judicial council on 25.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>71</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Elizabeta Dukovska	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts</u>	Initiated on: <u>20.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		The <u>kommission of Judicial council on 25.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

	CLOSED		<u>cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>72</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>20.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>73</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Tasheva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>20.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>74</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Minoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 10.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>75</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje Jordanco Panev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>24.07.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>Procedure stopped 26.02.2021</u>	

<u>76</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo Snezana Manev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.08.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>77</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.08.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>78</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Sande Zikov CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.08.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>79</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Melek Alija Elezi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.08.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>80</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Irena Petrevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>81</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Eftim Popevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>82</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Ace Bakievski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>83</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Vasil Batkovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>84</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krstevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 09.01.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.08.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>85</u>	President of the Basic Civil Court Skopje Ilijir Sulejmani CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 14.01.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>86</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kocani Katerina Conevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 09.09.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.10.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>87</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kocani Zoran Gligorov CLOSED			Initiated on: 09.09.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.12.2020 reject the initiation	
<u>88</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major</u>	Initiated on: 09.09.2020		The kommission of Judicial council	

	Suzana Zdraveva CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Individual person		on 09.12.2020 reject the initiation	
89	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Sofija Maneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.09.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.12.2020 reject the initiation	
90	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Danka Ristova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.09.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.12.2020 reject the initiation	
91	Judge in the Basic Court Negotino Aco Angelkovski CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 item 2 article 79 paragraph 1 item 3	In his capacity as President of the Negotino General Assembly, he did not perform the duties of the court administration and thus unprofessionally and unscrupulously performed the function of President of the Court in the sense of Article 74, paragraph 1, line 2, V.V. With Article 79 paragraph 1 line 3 of the Law on Courts. He acted contrary to Article 6 paragraph 1 lines 9 and 10 of the Law on Management of the movement of cases in the courts, as well as Article 13 paragraph 1, Article 15 paragraph .2 and Article 17 paragraph 1 of the Rules of Procedure.	Initiated on: 07.09.2020 Initiated by: member of the JC		The Judicial Council on 09.12.2020 issued a court reprimand	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

<u>92</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo <u>Biljana Kizeska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 30.09.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>93</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Jovanka Nikodinovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.10.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>94</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.10.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>95</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Numan Limani</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.10.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>96</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo <u>Snezana Manev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 06.10.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>97</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Bukurije Ajidini</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 06.10.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>98</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Sande Zikov</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 06.10.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>99</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Melek Alija Elezi</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 06.10.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>100</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Struga <u>Sonja Gonceska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.10.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>101</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Struga <u>Ifet Bajramoski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>13.10.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>102</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje <u>Irena Petrusevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>19.10.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>Procedure stopped 05.07.2021</u>	
<u>103</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar <u>Kirko Mijajloski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line2</u>	<u>чл.74 ст.1 алинея 2 а в.в. со чл.79 став 1 алинея 4 од Законот за судовите, според кој неприменувањето на одредбите во врска со управувањето и распределбата на судските предмети, претставува законски основ поради чие исполнување Судскиот совет разрешува од функција</u>	Initiated on: <u>19.10.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>member of the JC</u>		<u>The Judicial Council on 15.03.2022 adopted a Disciplinary measure 30% of the salary for 6 months</u>	

			<u>претседател на суд.</u>				
<u>104</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Stip <u>Liljana Jankova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>02.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 27.01..2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>105</u>	Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje <u>Naser Hadzi Ahmetagic</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 12.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>106</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Struga <u>Sonja Gonceska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>17.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>107</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid <u>Vlado Dejanovski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>11.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>108</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola <u>Zaklina Doveden</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>11.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 04.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>109</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip <u>Zoranco Micevski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 12.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>110</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip <u>Kirce Donev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 12.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>111</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip <u>Svetlana Jovanovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 12.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>112</u>	Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	Initiated on: <u>10.12.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2021 reject the</u>	

	<u>Vangelina Markudova</u> CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>113</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Court Tetovo</u> <u>Dusanka Merdzanovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 09.12.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>114</u>	<u>Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Gorgi Radojkov</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.12.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>115</u>	<u>Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Anita Boskovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.12.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>116</u>	<u>Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Kenanzija Mehmed</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.12.2020 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

117	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Eljesa Aliji CLOSED	article 74 paragraph 1 line2, article 74 paragraph 3 line 2,3 article 76 paragraph1 line 7,	поставил спротивно на одредбите од член 272 став 4, член 274 став 1, 307 став 1 и 2, како и членовите 312 став 3 и 320 од Судскиот деловник со што нестручно и несовесно ја вршел судиската функција во смисла на законските одредби од член 74 став 1 алинеја 2, член 74 став 3 алинеја 2 и 3, член 76 став 1 алинеја 7 од Законот за судовите (“Службен весник на РМ”, бр.96/19 од 17.05.2019 година).	Initiated on: 09.12.2020 Initiated by: member of the JC		The Judicial Council on 15.03.2022 adopted a Disciplinary measure 15% of the salary for 6 months	
118	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Aleksandra Pop Stefanija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.12.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.02.2021 reject the initiation	
119	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.12.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 14.07.2021	
120	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 31.12.2020 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 14.07.2021	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

	CLOSED		<u>cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>121</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Violeta Popovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>31.12.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>14.07.2021</u>	
<u>122</u>	Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje Goran Bosevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.11.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>member of the JC</u>	<u>Suspension from</u> <u>05.07.2021</u>	<u>Dismissed</u> <u>05.07.2021</u>	
<u>123</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Pavle Rafajlovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.09.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 15.10.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>124</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Minoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>18.09.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>125</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Velko Strasevski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>18.09.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>126</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Bekim Mehmedi</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>18.09.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>127</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar <u>Ali Aliu</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>18.09.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2020 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>128</u>	Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje <u>Tatjana Mihajlova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>08.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>member of the JC</u>		<u>Procedure stopped 06.12.2021</u>	

<u>129</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Jovanka Stojanoska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>130</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kicevo Dragan Atanaskoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>131</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Zarif Zekiri CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>132</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>133</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by:		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021</u>	

	Natasa Stefanovska CLOSED		the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Lawyer		reject the initiation	
134	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.01.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
135	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Natasa Stojanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.01.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
136	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Kiro Zdravev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.01.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	
137	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Svetlana Trajcovska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.01.2020 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED						
<u>138</u>	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Snezana Gorgievska Zekirija CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>139</u>	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Isamedin Limani CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>140</u>	Judge in the Supreme Court of the Republic of North Macedonia Spend Devaja CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>14.01.2020</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

Initiated 2021

<u>1</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Tetovo Dusanka Merdzanovska ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.02.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> member of the JC	<u>Suspension from</u> 07.04.2022	<u>Dismissed</u> 07.04.2022	<u>Appeal submitted on</u> 27.05.2022 The Supreme Court REJECTED the appeal from 22.09.2022
<u>2</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Tatjana Dukovska ON GOING	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 2,3 , article 75 paragraph 1 item 2 and article 76 paragraph 1 item 4</u>	<u>Article 74 paragraph 1 line 2 and paragraph 3 above with Article 75 paragraph 1 item 2 and Article 76 paragraph 1 item 4 of the Law on Courts, Gross influence and interference in the work of another judge and unjustified delay of the procedure</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 20.01.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		<u>the request has been withdrawn</u> 15.06.2022	
<u>3</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo Emilija Stoiimenovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 22.01.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on</u> 19.02.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>4</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje Irena	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 27.01.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on</u> 18.02.2021 reject the initiation	

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

	<u>Petrusevska</u> CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>5</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje <u>Natasa Todorova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>6</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje <u>Slobodan Hadzispirkovski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>7</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Stanka Zafirovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>8</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Lidija Dimcevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

<u>9</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Enver Bedzeti CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>10</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Suzana Zdraveva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>	<u>Suspension from 14.07.2021</u>	<u>Dismissed JC on 14.07.2021</u> Dismissed JC on 07.04..2022	<u>Appeal submitted on 10.08.2021</u> <u>Supreme Court accept the appeal od 29.11.2021</u>
<u>11</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Stip Zoranco Micevski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.02.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>	<u>Suspension from 14.07.2021</u>	<u>Dismissed JC on 14.07.2021</u> Dismissed JC on 07.04..2022	<u>Appeal submitted on 10.08.2021</u> <u>Supreme Court accept the appeal od 29.11.2021</u>
<u>12</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kumanovo Emilija Stoiimenovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>29.03.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 21.05.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>13</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional</u>	Initiated on: <u>06.04.2021</u> Initiated by:		<u>Procedure stopped 15.03.2022</u>	

	<u>Ljupco Todorovski</u> CLOSED		<u>error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Legal person</u>			
<u>14</u>	<u>Judge in the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Mirjana Nikolovska kalcinovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>05.04.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>10.02.2022</u>	
<u>15</u>	<u>Judge in the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Nuhi Rustemi</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>23.03.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.05.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>16</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid</u> <u>Vlado Dejanovski</u> ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>24.03.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The Judicial commission has not issued a court reprimand</u>	
<u>17</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid</u> <u>Vlado Krlevski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>06.04.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>18</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Prilep Zvonko Mladenoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 25.03.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>19</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Stip Soltir Taskov CLOSED ON GOING	<u>article 74 paragraph 1 line 1,2,3 , and article 76 paragraph 1 item 4</u>	<u>art.74 paragraph 1 indents 1,2 and 3, and c.c. With Article 76 paragraph 1 item 4 of the Law on Courts, while performing the judicial function without justified reasons, he did not schedule the hearings in the cases assigned to him for work.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 08.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> member of the JC			The Supreme Court REJECTED the appeal from 19.09.2022
<u>20</u>	Judge in the Civil Court Skopje Irena Petrusevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 17.03.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 14.04.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>21</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Kocani Katerina Conevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 17.03.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 10.05.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

<u>22</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Struga Sonja Gonceska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.03.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 29.03.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>23</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Gostivar Iljaz Ismaili CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>09.04.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 10.05.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>24</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Katerina Georgievska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.04.2021.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 19.11.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>25</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Sheu Asani CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.04.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 19.11.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>26</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.04.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 19.11.2021 reject the</u>	

	Gerasimovski CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
27	Judge in the Basic Court Ohrid Blaska Radnoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05.2021 reject the initiation	
28	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Bosko Bogdanovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05.2021 reject the initiation	
29	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Petkana Jadrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05.2021 reject the initiation	
30	Judge in the Appellate Court Bitola Slavica Mirkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05.2021 reject the initiation	

<u>31</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Izet Ismaili ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 22.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer			
<u>32</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 22.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer			
<u>33</u>	Judge in the Appellate Court Gostivar Rafiz Idrizi ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 22.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer			
<u>34</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Tetovo Tomislav Boshkovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 20.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>35</u>	Judge in the Basic Court Tetovo	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 21.04.2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 28.07.2021 reject the</u>	

	<u>Dusanka Merdzanovska</u> CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>36</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Stojance Ribarev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>22.04.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>37</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Daniela Dimovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>22.04.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.11.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>38</u>	<u>Judge in the Basic Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Vasil Dinkovski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.04.2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>39</u>	<u>Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.04. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>12.09.2022</u>	

	Mirjana Radevska Stefkova CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
40	Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia Vasil Grev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 12.09.2022	
41	Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia Snezana gorgievska Zekirija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 12.09.2022	
42	Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia Isamedin Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 12.09.2022	

<u>43</u>	Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia Stojance Ribarev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 29.04. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>12.09.2022</u>	
<u>44</u>	Judge in the Supreme court of the Republic of North Macedonia Spend Devaja CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 04.05. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>45</u>	Judge in the Basic court Struga Getan Kadrieski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 11.05. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>46</u>	Judge in the Basic court Gostivar Miroslav Petrovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 11.05. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 06.07.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

47	Judge in the Basic court Ohrid Vlado Krlevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.05. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 14.07.2021 reject the initiation	
48	Judge in the Basic court Ohrid Irena Trajanoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.05. 2021 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 01.07.2021 reject the initiation	
49	Judge in the Basic court Kumanovo Branka Naumoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.05. 2021 Initiated by: Lawyer		The komismission of Judicial council on 12.07.2021 reject the initiation	
50	Judge in the Appellate court Stip Kirce Donev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.05. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 14.07.2021 reject the initiation	
51	Judge in the Appellate court Stip Kornelija	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 31.05. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The komismission of Judicial council on 14.07.2021 reject the	

	Davceva CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
52	Judge in the Appellate court Stip Zoranco Micevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.05. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.07.2021 reject the initiation	
53	Judge in the Civil court Skopje Andrej Dimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.06. 2021 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
54	Judge in the Basic court Ohrid Vlado Dejanoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.06. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.11.2021 reject the initiation	
55	Judge in the Basic court Stip Emil Pilatov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.12.2021 reject the initiation	

<u>56</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Stip <u>Aleksandar Cackov</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.09. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>57</u>	Judge in the Basic court Gevgelija <u>Zlata Popova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>11.06. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>58</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Veles <u>Bojanco Donev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>11.06. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 01.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>59</u>	Judge in the Basic court Kocani <u>Katerina Conevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>22.06.2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 23.11.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

60	Judge in the Basic court Tetovo Slagana Ilievska CLOSED ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.07. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.03.2022 reject the initiation	
61	Judge in the Appellate court Stip Suzana Zdraveva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.07.2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2021 reject the initiation	
62	Judge in the Appellate court Stip Suzana Zdraveva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.07.2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
63	Judge in the Basic court Gevgelija Todorka Cilkovska Dimova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.06. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2021 reject the initiation	
64	Judge in the Basic court Gevgelija	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 04.06. 2021		The kommission of Judicial council on	

	Tawa Trajkovska CLOSED		<u>made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>02.12.2021</u> <u>reject the initiation</u>	
65	Judge in the Appellate court Skopje Elizabeta Dukovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>04.06. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
66	Judge in the Appellate court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>04.06. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
67	Judge in the Appellate court Skopje Roza Georgieva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>04.06. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
68	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Getan Kadrieski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>13.07. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>69</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 13.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.12.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>70</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Natasa Miseva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 15.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>71</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 15.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
<u>72</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova Kotevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 15.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	

73	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
74	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Erol Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.07. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation	
75	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Natasa Miseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
76	Judge at the Basic Court Stip Aleksandar Cackov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.09. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
77	Judge at the Basic Court Stip	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 22.09. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the	

	Emil Pilatov CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
78	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Mirjana Radevska Stevkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.08. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 15.03.2022	
79	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Vladimir Stojanoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.08. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 15.03.2022	
80	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Snezana Gorgievska Zekirija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.08. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 15.03.2022	
81	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional	Initiated on: 09.08. 2021 Initiated by:		Procedure stopped 15.03.2022	

	Spend Devaja CLOSED		error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Legal person			
82	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Stojance Ribarev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.08. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 15.03.2022	
83	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Ivica Nikolovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.08. 2021 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.12.2021 reject the initiation	
84	Judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Elena Makarovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.08. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
85	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 16.08. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the	

	<u>Dobрила Kacarska</u> CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>86</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Stip</u> <u>Suzana Zdraveva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 17.08. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 16.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>87</u>	<u>Judge at the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Artan Limani</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 19.08. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>88</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Stip</u> <u>Mrjanka Gocevska Stefanovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 24.08. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 21.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>89</u>	<u>judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia</u> <u>Spend Devaja</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 75 paragraph 1 item 4 of the Law on Courts, obvious violation of the rules for exemption in situations when the judge knew or should have known about the existence of one of the grounds for exemption provided by law.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 25.08. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The judicial council on 27.09.2022 issued a court reprimand</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

	ON GOING						
90	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Kornelija Davceva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 75 paragraph 1 item 4 of the Law on Courts, obvious violation of the rules for exemption in situations when the judge knew or should have known about the existence of one of the grounds for exemption provided by law.	Initiated on: 14.09. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.02.2022 reject the initiation	
91	Judge at the Basic Court Strumica Emil Gligorov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.09. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.12.2021 reject the initiation	
92	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Dragan Gerovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.09. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.12.2021 reject the initiation	
93	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Nuhi Rustemi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.09. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	

94	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Veles</u> <u>Natasa Miseva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.10. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The Judicial Council on 29.11.2021 decided to transfer the request for initiating a procedure for dismissal of the judge to a register with complaints</u>	
95	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Meri Dika Georgievska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 06.10. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The Judicial Council on 29.12.2021 decided to transfer the request for initiating a procedure for dismissal of the judge to a register with complaints</u>	
96	<u>judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia</u> <u>Nake Georgiev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 19.10. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
97	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Meri Dika Georgievska</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 20.10. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>the request has been withdrawn 13.06.2022</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>98</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.10. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>the request has been withdrawn 13.06.2022</u>	
<u>99</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.10. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>the request has been withdrawn 13.06.2022</u>	
<u>100</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Ismail Elezi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.10. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>101</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Stip Meri Giceva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 25.10. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 02.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

Formatted: Font: StobiSerif Regular, 11 pt, Not Bold, English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Normal, Centered

102	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Erol Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.10. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
103	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Irena Petrusevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.10. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		the request has been withdrawn 22.02.2022	
104	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.10. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation	
105	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Irena Kostadinova Angelova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation	

106	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.11.2021 reject the initiation	
107	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.11.2021 reject the initiation	
108	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislmi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.11.2021 reject the initiation	
109	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Ilija Trpkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.12.2021 reject the initiation	
110	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 10.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the	

	Elena Ilievska Josifovik CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
111	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Cvetanka Siljanoska Kostadinovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
112	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Nuhi Rustemi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
113	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Dimcevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
114	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding	Initiated on: 16.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>115</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Enver Bexeti</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 16.11. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>116</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Suad Aliu</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 16.11. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>117</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Maja Markova Kotevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 16.11. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>118</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Violeta Popovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 16.11. 2021</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2022 reject the initiation	

119	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Dragoslava Veselinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2022 reject the initiation	
120	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Sande Zikov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2021 reject the initiation	
121	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislimi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2021 reject the initiation	
122	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Melek Alija Elezi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2021 reject the initiation	
123	Judge at the Basic Court Veles	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021		The kommission of Judicial council on	

	Lidija Dimova CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Legal person		08.02.2022 reject the initiation	
124	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Valentina Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.02.2022 reject the initiation	
125	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Zora Bozinovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.12.2021 reject the initiation	
126	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation	
127	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 19.11. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>128</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje <u>Violeta Popovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>19.11. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 20.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>129</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Strumica <u>Gorgi Manevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>25.11. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 23.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>130</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola <u>Zaklina Doveden</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>30.11. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 13.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>131</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Stip <u>Svetlana Jovanova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	Initiated on: <u>07.12. 2021</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 21.12.2021 reject the initiation</u>	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>132</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Slavica Toik CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 03.12. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.02.2022 <u>reject the initiation</u>	
<u>133</u>	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Mirjana Radevska Stefkova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 07.12. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped 15.03.2022</u>	
<u>134</u>	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Vladimir Stojanovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 07.12. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped 15.03.2022</u>	
<u>135</u>	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	Initiated on: 07.12. 2021 Initiated by: Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped 15.03.2022</u>	

	Snezana Gorgieska Zekirija CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>136</u>	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Isamedin Limani CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 07.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>15.03.2022</u>	
<u>137</u>	judge at the Supreme Court of the Republic Macedonia Nake Georgiev CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 07.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>Procedure stopped</u> <u>15.03.2022</u>	
<u>138</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Iljir Rexepi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 07.02.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>139</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Irena Petrusevska	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.01.2022 reject the initiation</u>	

	CLOSED		<u>cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>140</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Sofce Gavrilova Efremova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 15.12. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 24.01.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>141</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Ilija Trpkov CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.12. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.01.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>142</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Kocani Katerina Conevska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 20.12. 2021 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.05.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>143</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Slavco Tasev	<u>Article 78 of the Law on Courts v.v. With Article 79 and Article 24 paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Court Rules of Procedure, as well as the</u>	<u>Article 78 of the Law on Courts v.v. With Article 79 and Article 24 paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Court Rules of Procedure, as well as the work program of the Court of</u>	Initiated on: 27.12. 2021 Initiated by: President of the Court		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.02..2022 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED	<u>work program of the Court of Appeal Stip for 2021</u>	<u>Appeal Stip for 2021</u>				
<u>144</u>	President at the Basic Court Radovis <u>Lila Cvetanoska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.03.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>145</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje <u>Erol Abdulai</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 31.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.04.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>146</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje <u>Suzana Dimitriev</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 31.12. 2021 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.04.2022 reject the initiation	

Initiated 2022

<u>1</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Stip <u>Mirjanka Gocevska Stefanovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 04.01.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.04.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>2</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Stip <u>Suzana Zdraveva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 04.01.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 22.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>3</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Struga <u>Getan Kadrieski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.01.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 14.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	

4	judge at the <u>Administrative Court</u> Dimitrula Apostolovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>16.02.2022</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.04.2022 reject the initiation	
5	Judge at the <u>Civil Court Skopje</u> Zora Bozinovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>23.02.2022</u> Initiated by: <u>Legal person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.05.2023 reject the initiation	
6	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u> Elizabeta Dukovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>04.03.2022</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.04.2022 reject the initiation	
7	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u> Jetmire Ajdini Boshnaku CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: <u>04.03.2022</u> Initiated by: <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.04.2022 reject the initiation	
8	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably</u>	Initiated on: <u>04.03.2022</u>		The kommission of Judicial council on	

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

	Roza Georgieva CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Individual person		12.04.2022 reject the initiation	
9	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Iljaz Ismaili ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.01.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The Judicial Council issued a written warning on 04.07.2023	
10	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Natasia Miseva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.01.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer	Suspension from 12.12.2022	Dismissed JC on 12.12.2022 Appeal submitted on 01.03.2023 Appeal rejected 23.02.2023	
11	Judge at the Basic Court Bitola Dejan Krstanov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.02.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.03.2022 reject the initiation	
12	President at the Appellate Court Stip Kornelija	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 07.02.2022 Initiated by: Judge		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.04.2022 reject the	

	Davceva CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
13	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Ljubinka Basevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.02.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.03.2022 reject the initiation	
14	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Jehona Sadiku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.02.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 10.03.2022 reject the initiation	
15	Judge at the Basic Court Krusevo Lidija Andreska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.02.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2022 reject the initiation	
16	Judge at the Basic Court Prilep Jane	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 11.02.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2022 reject the initiation	

	<u>Trenceski</u> CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
<u>17</u>	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Prilep</u> <u>Ljuben Binoski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.02.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>18</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Gordana Gorceva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.02.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council 30.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>19</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Radica Vasljevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.02.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council 30.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>20</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Ariton Ala</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>11.02.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council 30.03.2022 reject the</u>	

	CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			<u>initiation</u>	
<u>21</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje <u>Natasa Todorova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 11.02.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		<u>The kommission of Judicial council 30.03.2022 reject the initiation</u>	
<u>22</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Stip</u> <u>Svetlana Jovanova</u> ON GOING	<u>Article 75 paragraph 4 of the Law on Courts</u>	<u>Article 75 paragraph 4 of the Law on Courts, an obvious violation of the rules for exemption in situations in which the judge knew or should have known about the existence of any of the grounds for exemption provided by law.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Member of Judicial council</u>		The Judicial Council on 11.01.2023 adopted a Disciplinary measure 20% of the salary for 6 months	
<u>23</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Stip</u> <u>Zoranco Micevski</u> ON GOING	<u>Article 79 paragraph 1 line 1 of the Law on Courts</u>	<u>Article 79 paragraph 1 line 1 of the Law on Courts, Exceeding and Violation of Legal Authorities</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 18.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Member of Judicial council</u>		the request has been withdrawn 11.01.2023	
<u>24</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Bitola</u> <u>Ace Bakievski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 28.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 09.11.2022 reject the initiation	

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>25</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Elena Ilievska Josifovic CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 27.09.2022	
<u>26</u>	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Vasil Batkoski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 28.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 04.05.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>27</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Vesna Bogdanovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.03.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 09.05.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>28</u>	Judge at the Supreme Court Besa Ademi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.04.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 07.12.2022	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
<u>29</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Stip</u> <u>Svetlana Jovanova</u> ON GOING	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 07.04.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person			
<u>30</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Bitola</u> <u>Riste Risteovski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 12.04.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 26.08.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>31</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Bitola</u> <u>Nikola Krsteski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 12.04.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 05.10.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>32</u>	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid</u> <u>Vulnet Vinca</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 12.04.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 12.10.2022 reject the initiation	

Formatted Table

Formatted: Highlight

<u>33</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Bitola</u> <u>Nikola Krsteski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 12.04.2022</u> <u>Initiated by: Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 21.07.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>34</u>	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Bitola</u> <u>Petre Srbinoski</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 12.04.2022</u> <u>Initiated by: Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>35</u>	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid</u> <u>Irena Petreska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 12.04.2022</u> <u>Initiated by: Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 16.11.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>36</u>	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo</u> <u>Sadula Izairi</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on: 13.04.2022</u> <u>Initiated by: Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 12.10.2022 reject the initiation	

<u>37</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Spresa Grainca Osmani CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 21.04.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 12.10.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>38</u>	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Keti Germanova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 24.04.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 20.09.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>39</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Kumanovo Bexet Elezi CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 06.05.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 14.07.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>40</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	Initiated on: 13.05.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the	

	<u>Ljubinka Baseska</u> CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			initiation	
<u>41</u>	<u>Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Olja Ristova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>13.05.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>42</u>	<u>Judge at the Administrative Court</u> <u>Eleonora Hamulik Airo</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>16.05.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 19.07.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>43</u>	<u>Judge at the Administrative Court</u> <u>Vesna Jovanovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>16.05.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 19.07.2022 reject the initiation	

44	Judge at the <u>Administrative Court</u> <u>Gabrijela Mihajlovska</u> CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 16.05.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 19.07.2022 reject the initiation	
45	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Stanka Zafirovska</u> CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.05.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the initiation	
46	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Vlatko Samardziski</u> CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.05.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the initiation	
47	judge at the <u>Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Kenanzija Mehmed</u> CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 23.05.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the initiation	

48	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Rafiz Idrizi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
49	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Izet Ismaili CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
50	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Liljana Ickova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
51	Judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Olgica Andreeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.06.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	

52	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.06.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
53	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.06.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
54	Judge at the Basic Court Kratovo Miroslav Georgievski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.06.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 07.11.2022 reject the initiation	
55	Judge at the Basic Court Prilep Dosta Lukaroska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.06.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 20.07.2022 reject the initiation	

<u>56</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Eftim Popeski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>20.06.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 12.10.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>57</u>	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Milco Kliseski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>20.06.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Lawyer</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 09.11.2022 reject the initiation	
<u>58</u>	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Sofce Gavrilova Eferemova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>07.07.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 14.12.2022 reject the initiation	

59	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Dragoslava Veselinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 18.10.2022 reject the initiation	
60	Judge at the Basic Court Stip Nevena Perica CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.07.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 12.10.2022 reject the initiation	
61	Judge at the Basic Court Stip Aleksandar Cackov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.07.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 25.10.2022 reject the initiation	
62	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Dragoslava Veselinova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 22.07.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 14.12.2022 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		<u>cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
63	Judge at the Basic Court Bitola Cvetanka Nikodinovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.07.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 06.02.2023 reject the initiation	
64	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Lidija Mijakovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.07.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 06.02.2023 reject the initiation	
65	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Ruzica Ivanovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.07.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 06.02.2023 reject the initiation	
66	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 29.07.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 06.02.2023	

	<u>Liljana Cockovska</u> CLOSED		<u>error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Individual person</u>		reject the initiation	
67	<u>Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Ivan Dzolev-president</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>29.07.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 14.11.2022 reject the initiation	
68	<u>Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje</u> <u>Sedat Redzepagik</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>03.08.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
69	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid</u> <u>Irena Petrevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>05.08.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 24.11.2022 reject the initiation	

70	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Evtim Popevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 24.11.2022 reject the initiation	
71	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Ace Bakievski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 24.11.2022 reject the initiation	
72	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Vasil Batkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 24.11.2022 reject the initiation	
73	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krstevski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 05.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 24.11.2022 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		<u>cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
74	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Gordana Gorceva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 08.08.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
75	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Fatmir Skender</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 08.08.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
76	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Ariton Ala</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 08.08.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
77	<u>judge at the Administrative Court</u> <u>Eleonora Hamulik Ajro</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 10.08.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	

78	judge at the Administrative Court Vesna Jovanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
79	judge at the Administrative Court Gabrijela Mihajlovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
80	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Ljubinka Basevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
81	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Lile Naumovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding	Initiated on: 31.08.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	

			<u>the judge responsible</u>				
82	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Svetlana Jovanova ON GOING	<u>art. 74 paragraph 1 paragraph 1</u>	<u>art. 74 paragraph 1 paragraph 1 of the Law on Courts, unprofessional and negligent performance of the judicial function, with an obvious violation of the rules for exemption in situations in which the judge knew or should have known about the existence of one of the grounds for exemption from article 75 paragraph 1 point 4 of the Law on Courts.</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 05.09.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Member of Judicial council		The Judicial Council on 04.07.2023 adopted a Disciplinary measure 15 % of the salary for 3 months	
83	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Natalija Doneva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.09.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	
84	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Anita Boskovska CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 09.09.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	
85	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> 09.09.2022 <u>Initiated by:</u> Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

	CLOSED		<u>different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>			initiation	
86	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Rozalinda Ristevska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>09.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 31.10.2022 reject the initiation	
87	<u>Judge at the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Irena Kostadinova Angelova</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>14.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 13.10.2022 reject the initiation	
88	<u>Judge at the Supreme Court</u> <u>Nake Georgiev</u> CLOSED	<u>art. 74 paragraph 1 paragraph 2</u>	<u>Art. 74 paragraph 1 paragraph 2 of the Law on Courts. Exceeding and violating the legal powers from Art. 79 paragraph 1 paragraph 1 of the Law on Courts</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>15.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Member of Judicial council</u>		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 04.07.2023	
89	<u>Judge at the Civil Court</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>20.09.2022</u>		The kommission of Judicial council	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

	<u>Skopje</u> <u>Andrej Dimovski</u> CLOSED		<u>made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
90	<u>Judge at the Basic Court Strumica</u> <u>Loreta Abraseva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>23.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 27.10.2022 reject the initiation	
91	<u>Judge at the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Natalija Doneva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>27.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legall person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 17.10.2022 reject the initiation	
92	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Suad Aliu</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>27.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legall person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 17.10.2022 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
93	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 27.09.2022 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council 17.10.2022 reject the initiation	
94	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 27.09.2022 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council 17.10.2022 reject the initiation	
95	Judge at the Basic Court Strumica Marija Gorgieva CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	Initiated on: 27.09.2022 Initiated by: lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 21.12.2022 reject the initiation	
96	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Artan Limani	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the</u>	Initiated on: 28.09.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 14.11.2022 reject the initiation	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold

	CLOSED		<u>law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>				
97	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Elizabeta Dukovska</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>28.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 14.11.2022 reject the initiation	
98	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Jetmire Ajdini Boshnaku</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>28.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 14.11.2022 reject the initiation	
99	<u>judge at the Appellate Court Skopje</u> <u>Roza Georgieva</u> CLOSED	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>28.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Individual person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 14.11.2022 reject the initiation	
100	<u>Judge at the Civil Court Skopje</u> <u>Sofija Spasova</u>	<u>article 76 paragraph 1 item 7</u>	<u>Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible</u>	<u>Initiated on:</u> <u>30.09.2022</u> <u>Initiated by:</u> <u>Legal person</u>		The kommission of Judicial council 08.11.2022 reject the initiation	

	Medarska CLOSED		cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
101	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.09.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 08.11.2022 reject the initiation	
102	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Lenka Davitkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.10.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 08.11.2022 reject the initiation	
103	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Dimcevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.10.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.03.2023 reject the initiation	
104	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 19.10.2022		The kommission of Judicial council	

	Sofija Spasova Medarska CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Legal person		29.12.2022 reject the initiation	
105	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.10.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 29.12.2022 reject the initiation	
106	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.10.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 29.12.2022 reject the initiation	
107	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.10.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 29.12.2022 reject the initiation	
108	Judge at the Basic Court	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 19.10.2022		The kommission of Judicial council	

	Tetovo Betim Jahja CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Individual person		27.03.2023reject the initiation	
109	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Svetlana Jovanova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.10.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The Judicial Council on 04.07.2023 adopted a Disciplinary measure 15 % of the salary for 3 months	
110	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.10.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 28.12.2022 reject the initiation	
111	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.12.2022 reject the initiation	

112	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.12.2022 reject the initiation	
113	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.11.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.12.2022 reject the initiation	
114	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.02.2023 reject the initiation	
115	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.02.2023 reject the initiation	
116	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional	Initiated on: 02.11.2022 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council 27.02.2023	

	Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED		error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person		reject the initiation	
117	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Nuhi Rustemi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 27.02.2023 reject the initiation	
118	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Loreta Todorova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
119	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
120	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislimi	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the	

	CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
121	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
122	Judge at the Supreme Court Snezana Bajlozova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
123	Judge at the Supreme Court Vasil Grcev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
124	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	

125	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
126	Judge at the Supreme Court Snezana Gorgievska Zekirija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 06.04.2023 reject the initiation	
127	Judge at the Basic Court Strumica Tatjana Malinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.11.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 11.01.2023 reject the initiation	
128	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sofija Spasova Medarska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 23.02.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED						
129	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.11.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 23.02.2023 reject the initiation	
130	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Betim Jahja ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person			
131	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Lubinka Basevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.12.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 17.03.2023 reject the initiation	

132	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sofija Spasova Medarska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.12.2022 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council 29.12.2022 reject the initiation	
133	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Fanka Janculeva Mihailovska CLOSED	Art. 74 paragraph 1 c.v. With art. 74 paragraph 3 paragraph 1	Art. 74 paragraph 1 c.v. With art. 74 paragraph 3 paragraph 1 of the Law on Courts. The injury was committed with intent or obvious negligence due to the fault of the judge without justifiable reasons	Initiated on: 02.12.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 09.02.2023 reject the initiation	
134	Judge at the Basic Court Prilep Olivera Mitreska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 28.12.2022 reject the initiation	

135	Judge at the Basic Court Kavadarci Liljana Sitnikoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 10.01.2023 reject the initiation	
136	Judge at the Basic Court Kavadarci Zoran Josifovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 10.01.2023 reject the initiation	
137	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 23.02.2023 reject the initiation	
138	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jetmire Ajdini	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 12.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 23.02.2023 reject the	

	Boshnaku CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
139	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgieva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 23.02.2023 reject the initiation	
140	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Irena Antoska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 07.02.2023 reject the initiation	
141	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.12.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 17.02.2023 reject the initiation	
142	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Betim Jahja ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person			

143	Judge at the Criminal Court Skopje Ljubinka Baseska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.12.2022 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council 17.03.2023 reject the initiation	
144	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Katrina Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 23.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 09.03.2023 reject the initiation	
145	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 23.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 09.03.2023 reject the initiation	
146	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 23.12.2022 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council 09.03.2023 reject the	

	CLOSED		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	

Initiated 2023

1	judge at the Administrative Court Snezana Trpovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.01.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.02.2023 reject the initiation	
2	Judge at the Basic Court Prilep Saso Patoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.01.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.02.2023 reject the initiation	

3	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgieva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 24.01.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 06.04..2023 reject the initiation	
4	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Marika Skandeva Murdzeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 24.01.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 06.04..2023 reject the initiation	
5	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.01.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.03.2023 reject the initiation	
6	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 26.01.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.03.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
7	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Violeta Popovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.01.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.03.2023 reject the initiation	
8	Judge at the Basic Court Kocani Katerina Conevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.01.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.03.2023 reject the initiation	
9	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.02.2023 Initiated by: ANONYMOUS SUBMITTER		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.05.2023 reject the initiation	
10	Judge at the Civill Court	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 14.02.2023		The kommission of Judicial council on	

	Skopje Veljanka Donevski CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Individual person		15.03.2023 reject the initiation	
11	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Ilir Sulejmani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.02.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2023 reject the initiation	
12	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Emilija Sopar Jovanova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.02.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2023 reject the initiation	
13	Judge at the Basic Court Negotino Rozeana	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 27.02.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			

	Gorgieva ON GOING		cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
14	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Dijana Nacevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.05.2023 reject the initiation	
15	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.03.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
16	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.03.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
17	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably	Initiated on: 10.03.2023		The kommission of Judicial council on	

	Zlatko Angelkovski CLOSED		made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated by: Legal person		28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
18	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Dijana Nacevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.05.2023 reject the initiation	
19	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Enver Bexeti ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 4	art. 76 paragraph 1 item 4 of the Law on Courts, without justified reasons, does not schedule the hearings in the cases assigned to him in work or otherwise delays the procedure	Initiated on: 13.03.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
20	Judge at the Basic Court Kavadarci Lazar Nanev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.03.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.07.2023 reject the initiation	

21	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Daut Rustemi ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer			
22	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Meri Vasileva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.05.2023 reject the initiation	
23	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Cvetanka Siljanoska Kostadinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.05.2023 reject the initiation	
24	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.05.2023	

	Gordana Gorceva CLOSED		error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person		reject the initiation	
25	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.05.2023 reject the initiation	
26	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Radica Vasilevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.05.2023 reject the initiation	
27	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Elizabeta Dukovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
28	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgieva	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
29	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
30	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana Radevska Stefkova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
31	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanovski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
32	Judge at the Supreme Court Marika Mateska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
33	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
34	Judge at the Supreme Court Lidija Martinova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.03.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
35	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.05.2023 reject the initiation	
36	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Radica Vasilevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding	Initiated on: 12.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.05.2023 reject the initiation	

			the judge responsible				
37	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.05.2023 reject the initiation	
38	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Sadula Izairi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05.2023 reject the initiation	
39	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Katerina Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.07.2023 reject the initiation	
40	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Sheu Asani	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 18.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.07.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
41	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.07.2023 reject the initiation	
42	Judge at the Basic Court Kavadarci Lazar Nanev ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.04.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
43	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Ilija Trpkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.05.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.10.2023 reject the initiation	
44	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 31.05.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	Vlado Dejanoski ON GOING		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
45	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Vlado Dejanoski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
46	Judge at the Civill Court Skopje Vesna Strezovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
47	Judge at the Basic Court Prilep Saso Patoski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts	Initiated on: 27.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
48	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Tatjana Susuleska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	
49	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Riste Ristevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	
50	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Pakizer Alioska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	
51	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding	Initiated on: 29.06.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			

	ON GOING		the judge responsible				
52	Judge at the Administrative Court Burim Sejdini CLOSED			Initiated on: 30.06.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.09.2023 reject the initiation	
53	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.10.2023 reject the initiation	
54	Judge at the Basic Court Bitola Dejan Krstanov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.07.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.09.2023 reject the initiation	

55	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2023 reject the initiation	
56	Judge at the Supreme Court Spend Devaja ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
57	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanovski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
57	Judge at the Supreme Court Nake Georgiev ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

59	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
60	Judge at the Supreme Court Isamedin Limani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
61	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Rexep Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.11.2023 reject the initiation	
62	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Spresa Grainca Osmani	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.10.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED						
63	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Eljesa Aliji ON GOING	art. 75 and art. 79 paragraph 1 paragraph 1	art. 75 and art. 79 paragraph 1 paragraph 1 of the Law on Courts, overstepping and violation of legal powers.	Initiated on: 25.07.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
64	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Elizabeta Dukovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.08.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2023 reject the initiation	
65	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jelmire Ajdini Bosnaku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.08.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2023 reject the initiation	
66	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional	Initiated on: 16.08.2023 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.11.2023	

	Roza Georgieva CLOSED		error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Legal person		reject the initiation	
67	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Lile Naumovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.08.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
68	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.08.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
69	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Violeta Kostovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.08.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.09.2023 reject the initiation	
70	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Marika	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 12.09.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			

	Skandeva Murdzeva ON GOING		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
71	Judge at the Basic Court Bitola Orhan Asim CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.09.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	
72	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Jagoda Bogdanoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.09.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 06.11.2023 reject the initiation	
73	President at the Basic Court Tetovo Hasan Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.09.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.12.2023	

74	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Jasmina Lzarovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.09.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
75	Judge at the Basic Court Bitola Aleksandar Ilievski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.09.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.11.2023 reject the initiation	
76	Judge at the Supreme Court Katerina Georgievska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.10.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

77	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.10.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			
78	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.10.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			
79	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.10.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			
80	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Aleksandar Kambovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.10.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.11.2023 reject the initiation	

81	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zoran Karadzovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.10.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.11.2023 reject the initiation	
82	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Ruzica Ivanoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.10.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.11.2023 reject the initiation	
83	Judges at the Basic Court Kumanovo CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.09.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.10.2023 reject the initiation	
84	president at the Administrative Court Burim Sejdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2023 reject the initiation	

85	judge at the Administrative Court Gazmend Fejzuli CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.07.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.10.2023 reject the initiation	
86	Judges at the Basic Court Veles Emina Kalac CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.10.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.11.2023 reject the initiation	
87	Judges at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.12.2023 reject the initiation	
88	Judge at the Supreme Court Besa Ademi ON GOING	art. 79 paragraph 1 item 1	art. 79 paragraph 1 item 1 of the Law on Courts, exceeded and violated the legal powers as the president of the court	Initiated on: 07.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			

89	Judges at the Basic Court Kratovo Ruzica Zdravkovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
90	Judges at the Basic Court Bitola Snezana Dojcinovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.11.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			
91	President at the Basic Court Kavadarci Lazar Nanev ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
92	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 13.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	

	Sonja Kocovska CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
93	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	
94	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	
95	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	
96	judge at the Administrative Court Eljmedine Asani	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 15.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
97	judge at the Administrative Court Violeta Bogojevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	
98	judge at the Administrative Court Imsale Hasani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.12.2023 reject the initiation	
99	judge at the Basic Court Berovo Biljana Ivanova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
100	President at the Basic Court Berovo Darko Ristov	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 20.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
101	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana Radevska Stefkova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
102	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanovski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
103	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
104	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the	Initiated on: 28.11.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	Fanka Janculeva Mihailovska ON GOING		law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
105	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Elena Ilievska Josifovic ON GOING	art. 76 paragraph 1 items 4 and 5	art. 76 paragraph 1 items 4 and 5 of the Law on Courts, without justifiable reasons he did not schedule the hearings in the cases assigned to him in work or otherwise delayed the procedure, he did not take the case into work due to which the statute of limitations of criminal prosecution occurs or statute of limitations on the execution of a criminal sanction for a crime	Initiated on: 28.11.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
106	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Ljupka Krcinska Stefkova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.11.2023 Initiated by: Legal person			

107	judge at the Basic Court Prilep Jasmina Siskovska Stojkovic ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
108	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.12.2023 reject the initiation	
109	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.12.2023 reject the initiation	

110	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2023 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.12.2023 reject the initiation	
111	judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Biljana Kizeska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.12.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.01.2024 reject the initiation	
112	president at the Basic Court Kavadarci Lazar Nanev ON GOING	Article 75 paragraph 1 item 1	Article 75 paragraph 1 item 1 of the Law on Courts, committed a serious violation of public order and peace and other more serious forms of misconduct that damage the reputation of the court and its reputation	Initiated on: 12.12.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
113	judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Olgica Andreeva	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding	Initiated on: 18.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		the judge responsible				
114	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
115	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Slavica Andreevska ON GOING	Article 74, paragraph 1, paragraphs 2 and 3	Article 74, paragraph 1, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Law on Courts, when performing judicial functions without justifiable reasons, significantly overstepped the deadlines for the preparation of judgments.	Initiated on: 19.12.2023 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
116	Judge at the Supreme Court Afrim Fidani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
117	Judge at the Supreme Court Safet Kadrii	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the	Initiated on: 22.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
118	Judge at the Supreme Court Anita Boskovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.12.2023 Initiated by: Individual person			
119	judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Irena Trajkoska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.12.2023 Initiated by: Lawyer			

Initiated 2024

1	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Aleksandar Kambovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the	Initiated on: 09.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.03.2024 reject the initiation	
----------	--	-------------------------------	---	---	--	--	--

			judge responsible				
2	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zoran Karadzovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.03.2024 reject the initiation	
3	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Goko Ristov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.01.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 02.10.2024	
4	judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Sadula Izairi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.04.2024 reject the initiation	
5	judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Biljana Kizeska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 16.01.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 01.04.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
6	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2024 reject the initiation	
7	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Numan Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2024 reject the initiation	
8	Judge at the Supreme Court Snezana Bajlozova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2024 reject the initiation	
9	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Marin Stanevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 26.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
10	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Dusan Josifov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	
11	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Daniela Dimovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	
12	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jetmire Ajdini Bosnaku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.01.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	
13	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgieva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 29.01.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
14	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.01.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	
15	judge at the Basic Court Strumica Kostadin Georgiev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.01.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.03.2024 reject the initiation	
16	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Hadzo Tarik CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.02.2024 reject the initiation	
17	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Meri Vasileva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 02.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
18	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Erol Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2024 reject the initiation	
19	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Anita Boskovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2024 reject the initiation	
20	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Numan Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2024 reject the initiation	
21	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 06.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.03.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
22	judge at the High Administrative Court Anita Danilova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.02.2024 reject the initiation	
23	judge at the High Administrative Court Lidija Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.02.2024 reject the initiation	
24	judge at the High Administrative Court Rina Iseni CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.02.2024 reject the initiation	
25	Judge at the Supreme Court Isamedin Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
26	Judge at the Supreme Court Spend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05.2024 reject the initiation	
27	Judge at the Supreme Court Nake Georgiev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05.2024 reject the initiation	
28	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 15.05.2024	
29	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 15.05.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
30	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 15.05.2024	
31	judge at the Basic Court Kocani Zoran Gligorov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.05.2024 reject the initiation	
32	President at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04.2024 reject the initiation	
33	judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Eftim Popeski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 23.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
34	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Lile Naumovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.03.2024 reject the initiation	
35	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Violeta Kostovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.03.2024 reject the initiation	
36	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.03.2024 reject the initiation	
37	judge at the Basic Court Veles Valentina Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 21.02.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.05.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
38	judge at the Administrative Court Drita Memeti CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05..2024 reject the initiation	
39	judge at the High Administrative Court Anita Danilova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05..2024 reject the initiation	
40	judge at the Crime Court Skopje Olja Ristova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 23.02.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 08.07.2024	
41	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
42	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.04.2024 reject the initiation	
43	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.04.2024 reject the initiation	
44	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.05.2024 reject the initiation	
45	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.05.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
46	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.03.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.05.2024 reject the initiation	
47	judge at the Administrative Court Gazmend Fejzuli CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.05..2024 reject the initiation	
48	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Saska Trajkovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.03.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 08.07.2024	
49	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Sofija Milenkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 14.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.04.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
50	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Jovanka Spirovska Paneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.04..2024 reject the initiation	
51	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jetmire Ajdini Bosnaku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		Procedure stopped 06.11.2024	
52	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgieva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		Procedure stopped 06.11.2024	
53	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa savovska Dimitrovska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 19.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		Procedure stopped 06.11.2024	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
54	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Hadzo Tarik CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.03.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 04.06..2024 reject the initiation	
55	judge at the Basic Court Strumica Tatjana Malinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05..2024 reject the initiation	
56	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Danka Ristova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05..2024 reject the initiation	
57	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Mirjanka Goevska Stefanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 22.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05..2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
58	judge at the Appellate Court Stip Svetlana Jovanova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.03.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.05..2024 reject the initiation	
59	president at The Crime Court Skopje Ivan Dzolev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.06..2024 reject the initiation	
60	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Daniela Dimoska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.06..2024 reject the initiation	
61	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Marin Stanevski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			

	ON GOING		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
62	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Dusan Josifov ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
63	judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Baki Alili CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.06..2024 reject the initiation	
64	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Shefajet Hajdari CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.06..2024 reject the initiation	
65	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Daut Rustemi	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.06..2024 reject the	

	CLOSED		which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible			initiation	
66	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Aleksandar Milosevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.06..2024 reject the initiation	
67	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.05.2024 reject the initiation	
68	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krstevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.05.2024 reject the initiation	
69	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Violeta Kostovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 12.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.05.2024 reject the initiation	

			interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
70	judge at the Basic Court Prilep Olivera Mitreska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 12.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.05..2024 reject the initiation	
71	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Irena Antovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.04.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05..2024 reject the initiation	
72	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Saska Trajkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.04.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05..2024 reject the initiation	
73	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Artan Limani	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 15.04.2024 Initiated by: Legall person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.05..2024 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
74	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Ljubinka Baseska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.04.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.06..2024 reject the initiation	
75	judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.05.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 16.10.2024	
76	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Mirjana Nonkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
77	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Natalija Doneva	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by:		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	

	CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person			
78	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Olga Popevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
79	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Snezana Tanevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
80	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Magdalena Bajalska Gorgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	

81	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
82	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
83	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislimi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
84	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Fatmir Skender CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	

85	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Radica Vasilevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
86	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gordana Gorceva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
87	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Mustafa Dardhista CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
88	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zore Trenevskaa CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	

89	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Katerina Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
90	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
91	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Amir Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	
92	president at The Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 10.09.2024	

93	president at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 10.09.2024	
94	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Marika Skandeva Murdzevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
95	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Mirjana Nonkovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
96	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	

			judge responsible				
97	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Sofija Spasova Medarska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
98	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Tatjana Klincarska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
99	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gorgi Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
100	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Lidija Martinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	

			interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
101	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Stanka Zafirovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
102	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Agim Mislimi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
103	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Olga Angelova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
104	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Mustafa Dardhista CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
105	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Amir Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
106	president at The Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
107	president at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.06..2024 reject the initiation	
108	judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Iilir Redzepi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 17.05.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 22.07.2024	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
109	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.05.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 22.07.2024	
110	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.05.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 22.07.2024	
111	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.05.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 22.07.2024	
112	judge at The Civil Court Skopje Jovanka Spirovska Paneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 17.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 16.10.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
113	president at The Crime Court Skopje Ivan Dzolev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 31.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.06..2024 reject the initiation	
114	Judge at the Supreme Court Spend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.07..2024 reject the initiation	
115	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana radevska Stefkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.07..2024 reject the initiation	
116	Judge at the Supreme Court Nake Georgiev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.07..2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
117	Judge at the Supreme Court Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.07..2024 reject the initiation	
118	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.07..2024 reject the initiation	
119	Judge at the Supreme Court Spend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	
120	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana radevska Stefkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
121	Judge at the Supreme Court Nake Georgiev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	
122	Judge at the Supreme Court Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	
123	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	
124	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 28.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
125	president at the Appellate Court Skopje CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.05.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 01.07..2024 reject the initiation	
126	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.06.2024.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.08..2024 reject the initiation	
127	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krsteski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.06.2024.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.08..2024 reject the initiation	
128	judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Violeta Kostovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 14.06.2024.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.08..2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
129	judge at the Basic Court Strumica Loreta Abraseva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.06.2024.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer			
130	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Vaska Nikolovska Masevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2024 reject the initiation	
131	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Ilija Trpkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2024 reject the initiation	
132	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Sofce Gavrilova Efremova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 25.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2024 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
133	judge at The Crime Court Skopje Sofce Gavrilova Efremova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.06.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.08.2024 reject the initiation	
134	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Dragan Jakovlevski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.07.2024 Initiated by: President of court			
135	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.08.2024 reject the initiation	

136	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.08.2024 reject the initiation	
137	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.08.2024 reject the initiation	
138	president at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2024 reject the initiation	
139	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2024 reject the initiation	

140	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.09.2024 reject the initiation	
141	judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Verka Kostovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
142	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Abdulbari Ramadani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
143	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Aleksandar Milosevski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			

144	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Emine Murati ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
145	President at the Crime Court Skopje Ivan Dzolev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.08.2024 reject the initiation	
146	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Keti Germanova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.08.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.11.2024 reject the initiation	
147	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			

148	judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Zlata Popova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			
149	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Hadzo Tarik CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.08.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		The Judicial Council on 25.12.2024 adopted a Disciplinary measure 15 % of the salary for 3 months	
150	Judge at the Supreme Court Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.08.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 27.11.2024	
151	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Hadzo Tarik CLOSED	art. 76 paragraph 1 items 4 and 5	art. 76 paragraph 1 items 4 and 5 of the Law on Courts, without justifiable reasons he did not schedule the hearings in the cases assigned to him in work or otherwise delayed the procedure, he did not take the case into work due to which the	Initiated on: 19.07.2024 Initiated by: President of court		The Judicial Council on 25.12.2024 adopted a Disciplinary measure 15 % of the salary for 3 months	

			statute of limitations of criminal prosecution occurs or statute of limitations on the execution of a criminal sanction for a crime				
152	judge at the Basic Court Bitola Lazar Nanev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 24.07.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		Request withdrawn on 16.10.2024	
153	judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Baki Alili CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2024 reject the initiation	
154	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Shefajet Hajdari CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2024 reject the initiation	
155	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Daut Rustemi	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 26.07.2024 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2024 reject	

	CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person		the initiation	
156	judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Aleksandar Milosevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.07.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.09.2024 reject the initiation	
157	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sonja Kocovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.08.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
158	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Gordana Jakova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
159	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during	Initiated on: 02.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 20.11.2024	

	CLOSED		which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
160	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 20.11.2024	
161	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 20.11.2024	
162	judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natalija Doneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 20.11.2024	
163	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Marin Stanevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 03.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.09.2024 reject the initiation	

			interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
164	judge at the Basic Court Veles Bojanco Donev ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
165	President at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.09.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.09.2024 reject the initiation	
166	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Pajazit Pajaziti ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.09.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
167	judge at the Basic Courto Ohrid Milco Kliseski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 27.09.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 20.11.2024	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
168	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Gordana Jakova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.10.2024 reject the initiation	
169	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Ljupka Krcinska Stefkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.09.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.11.2024 reject the initiation	
170	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Jehona Sadiku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.10.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 24.10.2024 reject the initiation	
171	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Maja Dimitrova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 02.10.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.10.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
172	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jetmire Ajdini Boshnjaku ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.10.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
173	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.10.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
174	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Gorgieva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 04.10.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
175	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 07.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.10.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
176	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.10.2024 reject the initiation	
177	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.10.2024 reject the initiation	
178	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Blagorodna Arangelova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.10.2024 reject the initiation	
179	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Esma Alibasiq Jonuz ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 08.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.10.2024 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
180	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Elizabeta Vaskova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.10.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.10.2024 reject the initiation	
181	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Gazmend Fejzuli CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.09.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.10.2024 reject the initiation	
182	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sonja Kocovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 31.10.2024 reject the initiation	
183	Judge at the Supreme Court Shpend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 19.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.11.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
184	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.11.2024	
185	Judge at the Supreme Court Nake georgiev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.11.2024	
186	Judge at the Supreme Court Anita Boskovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.11.2024	
187	Judge at the Supreme Court Isamedin Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 19.08.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 06.11.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
188	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Fanka Janculeva Mihailovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.11.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
189	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Dusan Josifov ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.11.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
190	judge at the Basic Courto Bitola Tatjana Mitreska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 07.11.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer			
191	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Nenad Saveski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 11.11.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.11.2024 reject the initiation	

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
192	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Nenad Saveski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 26.11.2024 reject the initiation	
193	judge at the Basic Courto Gostivar Betim Ilazi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.10.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 06.11.2024 reject the initiation	
194	President at the Crime Court Skopje Ivan Dzolev ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.11.2024 Initiated by: Individual person			
195	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Djoko Ristov ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 02.12.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
196	Judge at the CRIME Court Skopje Gordana Jakova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.12.2024 reject the initiation	
197	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Enver Bedjeti ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.12.2024 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
198	Judge at the Basic Courto Bitola Snezhana Dojcinovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			
199	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Diturije Elezi Neziri ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 19.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer			

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
200	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	
201	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	
202	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	
203	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
204	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	
205	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.12.2024 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.01.2025 reject the initiation	
206	Judge at the Supreme Court Spend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 25.12.2024	
207	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 16.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 25.12.2024	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
208	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana Radevska Stefkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 25.12.2024	
209	Judge at the Supreme Court Jelica Krstevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 25.12.2024	
210	Judge at the Supreme Court Isamedin Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.10.2024 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 25.12.2024	
211	Judge at the Basic Court Gostivar Redjep Asani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 25.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
212	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Rafis Idrizi ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			
213	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Izet Ismaili ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			
214	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person			

Initiated 2025

1	Judge at the Basic Court Valentina Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.01.2025 reject the initiation	
2	Judge at the Supreme Court Shpend Devaja CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.01.2025 reject the initiation	
3	Judge at the Supreme Court Mirjana Radevska Stevkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.01.2025 reject the initiation	
4	Judge at the Supreme Court Vladimir Stojanoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.01.2025 reject the initiation	

5	Judge at the Supreme Court Isamedin Limani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.01.2025 reject the initiation	
6	Judge at the Supreme Court Katerina Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 02.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.01.2025 reject the initiation	
7	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sofija Milenkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.02.2025 reject the initiation	
8	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Gazmend Fejzuli CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 03.12.2025	

9	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Taseva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.02.2025 reject the initiation	
10	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.02.2025 reject the initiation	
11	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasa Savovska Dimitrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.01.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.02.2025 reject the initiation	
12	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Jehona Sadiku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.01.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.02.2025 reject the initiation	

13	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Snezana Trpovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.01.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 14.05.2025	
14	Judge at the Basic Courto Gostivar Dragoslava Veselinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 22.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.02.2025 reject the initiation	
15	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Afrim Jakupi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 02.04.2025	
16	Judge at the Basic Courto Strumica Tatjana Malinova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 03.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.02.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
17	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Pakirez Alioska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.02.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.02.2025 reject the initiation	
18	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Burim Sejdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.01.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.03.2025 reject the initiation	
19	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Marika SkandevaMurdjeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.12.2024 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.02.2025 reject the initiation	
20	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strashevski	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 10.02.2025 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.04.2025 reject	

	CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Legal person		the initiation (incomplete)	
21	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.02.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.04.2025 reject the initiation (incomplete)	
22	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.02.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.04.2025 reject the initiation (incomplete)	
23	Judge at the Basic Courto Kumanovo Meri Manaki Filipovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2025 reject the initiation	
24	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Tatjana Susuleska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by:		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2025 reject	

	CLOSED		professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Individual person		the initiation	
25	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zhaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2025 reject the initiation	
26	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Pakizer Alioska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2025 reject the initiation	
27	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krsteski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.04.2025 reject the initiation	
28	Judge at the Basic Courto Gevgelija Zlata Popova	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.03.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
29	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Tatjana Dukovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.03.2025 reject the initiation	
30	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gjoko Ristov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.03.2025 reject the initiation	
31	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Tanja Mileva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.03.2025 reject the initiation	
32	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Irena Trajanoska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 25.02.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.04.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
33	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Diana Gruevska Ilievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.05.2025 reject the initiation	
34	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Daniela Aleksovska Stojanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.05.2025 reject the initiation	
35	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Lidija Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.02.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.05.2025 reject the initiation	
36	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Tamara Vitlarova Smilevska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 28.02.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.04.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
37	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Erol Abdulai CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.03.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 28.04.2025 reject the initiation	
38	Judge at the Supreme Court Katerina Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 14.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 14.04.2025 reject the initiation	
39	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Slobodan Haxhi Hristovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 24.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	
40	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Pajazit Pajaziti	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
41	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Diana Gruevska-Ilievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	
42	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Daniela Aleksovska-Stojanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	
43	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Lidija Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	
44	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Diana Gruevska-Ilievska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
45	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Daniela Aleksovska-Stojanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
46	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Lidija Petrovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
47	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Xheneta bektoviq CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
48	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Erol Abdulai	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 25.03.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
49	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Roza Georgievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
50	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natasha Savovska Dimitrievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
51	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Petranka Tasheva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 03.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.5.05.2025 reject the initiation	
52	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Vesna Bogdanovska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 03.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 05.05.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the basis for holding the judge responsible				
53	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Ola Ristova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.04.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.05.2025 reject the initiation	
54	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zhaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.05.2025 reject the initiation	
55	President at the Appellate Court Skopje Meri Dika Georgievaska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.05.2025 reject the initiation	
56	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Sande Zikov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 15.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.05.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
57	President at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.05.2025 reject the initiation	
58	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Gordana Bileska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 29.05.2025 reject the initiation	
59	Judge at the Basic Court Stip Maja Djorleva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.04.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.06.2025 reject the initiation	
60	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 25.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.06.2025 reject the initiation	

			interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
61	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 02.06.2025 reject the initiation	
62	Judge at the Basic Court Strumica Tatjana Malinova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.04.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 17.07.2025 reject the initiation	
63	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Emilija Sopar Jovanova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	
64	President at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 09.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
65	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sofija Milenkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.05.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.07.2025 reject the initiation	
66	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Jehona Sadi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 20.05.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.07.2025	
67	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Dijana Nacevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person			
68	President at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.09.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
69	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Esma Alibasiq Jonuz CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 23.07.2025	
70	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Tanja Terzieva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 23.07.2025	
71	Judge at the Administrative Court Skopje Elizabeta Vaskova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 23.07.2025	
72	judge at the High Administrative Court Violeta Ilievska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		Procedure stopped 23.07.2025	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
73	judge at the High Administrative Court Emrije Zuberi Aliti CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.07.2025	
74	judge at the High Administrative Court Rina Iseni CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.07.2025	
75	Judge at the Appellate Court Stip Todor Pop Panev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.05.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.06.2025 reject the initiation	
76	Judge at the Appellate Court Stip Suzana Milevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 27.05.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.06.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
77	Judge at the Appellate Court Stip Svetlana Jovanova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 27.05.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.06.2025 reject the initiation	
78	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Marika Skandeva Murdjeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.05.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 23.07.2025	
79	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Kristijan Mitrevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 30.06.2025 reject the initiation	
80	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Monika Bahcavanovska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 28.05.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 08.07.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
81	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Vesna Bogdanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.05.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 07.07.2025 reject the initiation	
82	Judge at the Basic Court Struga Tance Petreski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.08.2025 reject the initiation	
83	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.08.2025 reject the initiation	
84	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Risto Ristevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 09.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.08.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
85	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krstevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 25.08.2025 reject the initiation	
86	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Gjorgji Radojkov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2025 reject the initiation	
87	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2025 reject the initiation	
88	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 11.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 22.07.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
89	President at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		Procedure stopped 01.10.2025	
90	President at the Appellate Court Bitola Zaklina Doveden CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.07.2025 reject the initiation	
91	Judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Olgica Andreeva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.06.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		Procedure stopped 23.07.2025	
92	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Velko Strasheski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 16.06.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.07.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
93	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Ali Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.06.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.07.2025 reject the initiation	
94	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angjelkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.06.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.07.2025 reject the initiation	
95	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Fanka Janculeva Mihajlovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 01.10.2025	
96	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Rozalinda Ristevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 17.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.07.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
97	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natalija Doneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.07.2025 reject the initiation	
98	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.06.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.07.2025 reject the initiation	
99	Judge at the Basic Court Radovish Limonka Doldurova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 01.10.2025	
100	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Bukurije Ajdini CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 26.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.11.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
101	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ilir Sulejmani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.11.2025 reject the initiation	
102	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Aleksandar Shopov CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 26.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 03.11.2025 reject the initiation	
103	Judge at the Basic Court Kumanovo Tatjana Kokolanska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.08.2025 reject the initiation	
104	Judge at the Basic Court Kumanovo Meri Manaki Filipovska	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 30.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.08.2025 reject the initiation	

	CLOSED		the basis for holding the judge responsible				
105	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.08.2025 reject the initiation	
106	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Ariton Ala CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.08.2025 reject the initiation	
107	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 30.06.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.08.2025 reject the initiation	
108	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Angel Tripcev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 10.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 13.09.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
109	Judges at the , Civil Court Skopje, Appellate Court Skopje and Supreme Court ??? CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.07.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 19.08.2025 reject the initiation	
110	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Tatjana Dukovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.08.2025 reject the initiation	
111	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Dimitrievski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.08.2025 reject the initiation	
112	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Tanja Mileva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 11.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.08.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
113	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Jusuf Ajroldhi CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.08.2025 reject the initiation	
114	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Vesna Dimishkova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 11.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 21.08.2025 reject the initiation	
115	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Kristijan Mitrevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 01.10.2025	
116	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Cvetanka Siljanoska Kostadinovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 16.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
117	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	
118	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	
119	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natalija Doneva CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 16.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 18.08.2025 reject the initiation	
120	Judge at the Basic Court Debar Mersim Marku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 17.07.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.10.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
121	Judge at the Basic Court Tetovo Redjep Musa ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 24.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person			
122	Judge at the Basic Court Kicevo Amdije Zenku CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 10.10.2025 reject the initiation	
123	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Loreta Todorova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2025 reject the initiation	
124	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Bojanco Donev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 28.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
125	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Angel Tripcev CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2025 reject the initiation	
126	Judge at the Basic Court Veles Dejan Percinkovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 28.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2025 reject the initiation	
127	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Monika Bahcovanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 06.08.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 03.12.2025	
128	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Tatjana Susuleska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 02.09.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		<i>Procedure stopped</i> 03.12.2025	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
129	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Monika Bahcovanovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 17.09.2025 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
130	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 18.09.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The Judicial Council on 18.09.2025 decided to transfer the request for initiating a procedure for dismissal of the judge to a register with complaints	
131	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Slobodan Haxhi Hristovski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 29.09.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 27.11.2025 reject the initiation	
132	judge at the High Administrative Court Svetlana Kostova CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different	Initiated on: 03.07.2025 Initiated by: Judge		The kommission of Judicial council on 20.10.2025 reject the initiation	

			interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
133	Judge at the Crime Court Skopje Vaska Nikolovska Masevska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 23.07.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		The kommission of Judicial council on 23.07.2025 reject the initiation	
134	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Irena Antoska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.10.2025 Initiated by: Legal person			
135	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Sashka Trajkovska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 01.10.2025 Initiated by: Legal person			
136	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Artan Limani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and	Initiated on: 01.10.2025 Initiated by: Legal person			

			the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible				
137	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Nikola Krstevski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	
138	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Pakizer Alioska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	
139	Judge at the Appellate Court Bitola Aleksandar Ilievski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 08.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	
140	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Vesna Bogdanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 08.10.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 12.11.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
141	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Irena Trajanoska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.10.2025 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
142	Judge at the Basic Court Gevgelija Zlata Popova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 09.10.2025 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council			
143	Judge at the Supreme Court Xhemail Saiti ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 10.10.2025 Initiated by: Legal person			
144	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Izet Ismaili CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 15.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	

			the basis for holding the judge responsible				
145	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Zlatko Angelkoski CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	
146	Judge at the Appellate Court Gostivar Bekim Mehmeti CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 15.10.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 11.11.2025 reject the initiation	
147	President at the Basic Court Tetovo Hasan Asani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.10.2025 Initiated by: Individual person			
148	Judge at the Civil Court Skopje Gordana Jakova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 22.10.2025 Initiated by: Individual person			

			the basis for holding the judge responsible			
149	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Elena Balevska ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
150	President at the Civil Court Skopje Besnik Avdija CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.11.2025 Initiated by: Legal person		The kommission of Judicial council on 09.12.2025 reject the initiation
151	UD President at the Crime Court Skopje Daniela Dimovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 05.11.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 16.12.2025 reject the initiation
152	President at the Appellate Court Bitola Zhaklina Doveden ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 05.11.2025 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		

			the basis for holding the judge responsible			
153	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Loreta Gorgieva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 13.11.2025 Initiated by: Member of Judicial council		
154	President at the Administrative Court Skopje Burim Sejдини ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 19.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
155	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Zoran Gerasimovski ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
156	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Natalija Doneva ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		

			the basis for holding the judge responsible			
157	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Suad Aliu ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
158	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Maja Markova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
159	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Irena Kostadinovska Angelova ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		
160	Judge at the Appellate Court Skopje Afrdita Shehu Asani ON GOING	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be	Initiated on: 21.11.2025 Initiated by: Individual person		

			the basis for holding the judge responsible			
161	Judge at the Basic Court Ohrid Irena Trajanovska CLOSED	article 76 paragraph 1 item 7	Art. 76 paragraph 1, point 7 of the Law on Courts, deliberately and unjustifiably made a major professional error, during which the different interpretation of the law and the facts and facts cannot be the basis for holding the judge responsible	Initiated on: 25.11.2025 Initiated by: Lawyer		The kommission of Judicial council on 15.12.2025 reject the initiation